# dentsu TRACKING

**Dentsu Aegis Network** LIST OF SPECIFICATIONS v1.4.3

This document details the list of specifications of the EU Secondary Repository and Router.

	Ner	Dana hu	Commont
Date	ver	Done by	Comment
17.01.2019	0.1	Dentsu Aegis Network	Internal Draft
05.02.2019	0.2	Dentsu Aegis Network	First Draft shared with stakeholders
21.02.2019	1.0	Dentsu Aegis Network	First release
08.03.2019	1.1	Dentsu Aegis Network	Minor changes
28.03.2019	1.2	Dentsu Aegis Network	Minor changes
16.09.2019	1.3	Dentsu Aegis Network	Addition of the GS1 EPCIS, EDI interface. Improvement of the Validation and address field split
16.10.2019	1.4	Dentsu Aegis Network	Additional sequence validation
17.10.2019	1.4.1	Dentsu Aegis Network	Update of the Message Time Long. Update the definition of the Arrival validation in order to remove the FID control. Update the VAL_EVT_24H definition to a warning.
20.12.2019	1.4.2	Dentsu Aegis Network	Update Routing from distributors and wholesalers. Remove the Recall for IRU and IRA message as described in the implementing regulation. Update sequence validation.
31.10.2020	1.4.3	Dentsu Aegis Network	Update of the country codes in preparation for Brexit. Consolidation of optional features into the main documents

#### Summary of changes

#### Distribution

Date	Version	Submitted to
05.02.2019	0.2	Mail to stakeholder
21.02.2019	1.0	Published
08.03.2019	1.1	Published
28.03.2019	1.2	Published
16.09.2019	1.3	Published
16.10.2019	1.4	Published
17.10.2019	1.4.1	Published
20.12.2019	1.4.2	Published
31.10.2020	1.4.3	Published

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



#### **Confidentiality Statement**

The information contained in these documents is confidential, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

## Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	.10
	1.1       TRACEABILITY SYSTEM OVERVIEW.         1.2       SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES .         1.3       CONVENTIONS .         1.3.1       Message naming convention .         1.3.2       Message and codes sample.	. 10 . 10 . 11 . <i>11</i> . <i>11</i>
2	DEFINITIONS	.12
3	REPOSITORIES SYSTEM OVERVIEW	.14
	<ul> <li>3.1 KEY DESIGN PRINCIPLES</li> <li>3.2 ROLES OF THE REPOSITORIES SYSTEM COMPONENTS</li> <li>3.2.1 The Primary repositories</li> <li>3.2.2 The Router</li> <li>3.2.3 The Secondary repository</li> <li>3.3 OVERVIEW OF DATA DICTIONARY PROCESSES</li> <li>3.3.1 Identifier codes for Economic operators, Facilities and Machines</li> <li>3.3.2 Unique identifiers (UIs) for Unit packets and Aggregated packaging of tobacco products</li> </ul>	. 14 . 14 . 15 . 15 . 15 . 16 . 16 . 18
	<ul> <li>3.3.3 Report on Product movements</li></ul>	, 19 , 20 , 20 , 22 , 22
л		. 23 <b>25</b>
4		.25
	<ul> <li>4.1 ISSUING IDENTIFIER CODES.</li> <li>4.1.1 Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code</li></ul>	25 27 29 31 33 36 38 40 41 42 42
	<ul> <li>4.2.3 Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs)</li></ul>	45 51 55 59 59 59
	<ul> <li>packaging</li> <li>4.3.3 Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility</li> <li>4.3.4 Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility</li> <li>4.3.5 Trans-loading</li> <li>4.3.6 Disaggregation of aggregated level Unique identifier (UI)</li> <li>4.3.7 Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets</li> <li>4.4 REPORTING TRANSACTIONAL EVENTS (TRADE INFORMATION)</li> </ul>	61 65 70 74 77 82 82
	4.4.1 ISSUING OF THE INVOICE	. ØD

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

#### dentsu TRACKING

	4.4.2 4 4 3	Issuing of the order number
	4.5 REC	ALLS OF REQUESTS OPERATIONAL AND TRANSACTIONAL MESSAGES 101
	4.5.1	Recalls of operational and transactional messages
_	INTERE	10C
5	INTERF	ACES106
	5.1 OVE	RVIEW
	5.2 SEC	ONDARY REPOSITORY AND ROUTER INTERFACE
	5.2.1	Secondary repository and Router application programmable interface 106
	5.2.2	Methods of interaction
	5.2.3	<i>Encoding</i>
	5.2.4	Secured communication
	5.2.5	Version and backward compatibility108
	5.2.6	System Reception Timestamp108
	5.2.7	Message identification and RecallCode109
	5.2.8	Message response110
	5.2.9	Forward Rejected Messages
	5.2.10	Message integrity and hash113
	5.2.11	Message size114
	5.2.12	Number of simultaneous connections114
	5.2.13	Message Sequence114
	5.2.14	Buffering and Burst transmissions115
	5.2.15	Message Retransmission limitation115
	5.2.16	Connectivity Test Message115
	5.2.17	Duplicate message validation
	5.3 EPC	IS AND EDI SUPPORT
	5.3.1	Overview
	5.3.2	PRODUCT MOVEMENT EVENTS
	5.3.3	IRANSACTIONAL EVENTS
	5.3.4	ERROR HANDLING MESSAGE
	5.3.5	EPCIS Recall Management
	5.4 EUN	WIDE REGISTER
	5.4.1	Overview
	5.4.2 E 1 2	Registry
	5.4.5 E A A	Fide Files
	5.4.4 E 4 E	DLO - Full Fide file and Registry upload
	5.4.5	Offling Elat Files
	5.4.0 5.5 IDEN	
	5.5 IDEN	Overview 125
	552	Interface 125
	553	Verification Result 125
	5.6 UNIC	DUE ISSUER MESSAGE DELIVERY VERIEICATION SERVICE 125
	5.6 1	Overview 125
	562	Interface 125
	563	Verification Result 126
	5.7 Com	IPETENT AUTHORITIES API
	5.7.1	Overview
	5.7.2	Interface
	5.7.3	Offline Flat File download API
	5.7.4	Ouerv API
	5.8 PRIM	ARY REPOSITORY ENDPOINT
	5.8.1	Overview
	5,8.2	Methods of interaction
	5.8.3	Message format
	5.8.4	Message response
		<u> </u>

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

	5.8.5	Endpoint	7
	5.8.6	Secured communication	7
	5.8.7	RecallCode management	7
	5.8.8	Message integrity and hash	/
	5.9 1121	IN II2DW INTERFACES	/
	5.9.1	Overview	/
	5.9.2	Interface	/
	5.9.3	Synchronous and asynchronous support	/
	5.9.4		/
6	UNIQUE	E IDENTIFIER	8
	6.1 CLAF	RIFICATION ON STRUCTURE OF UNIT-LEVEL UNIQUE IDENTIFIERS $\ldots 123$	8
	6.1.1	Clarification on Structure of unit-level unique identifiers (after encoding	
	into a da	ita carrier)120	8
	6.1.2	Clarification on Structure of aggregated-level unique identifiers (after	~
	encoaing	i into a data carrier)	0
	6.2 DEC	ODING UI	2
	0.2.1	Algorithm	2
	0.2.2	Decouring Activities	2
7	ROUTER		3
	7.1 OVE	RVIEW	3
	7.2 Rou	TING RULES	3
	7.2.1	Routing of UI13	3
	7.2.2	Routing of Transactional data13	4
	7.3 REC/	all Management	4
8	MESSAG	GE VALIDATION13	5
	8.1 OVE	RVIEW	5
	8.1.1	Principle: No duplication of validation	5
	8.1.2	Validation response	6
	8.1.3	Technical and business validation13	6
	8.1.4	Message transmission overview13	6
	8.2 TYPE	OF VALIDATION	7
	8.2.1	Security validation	7
	8.2.2	Message Structure validation	8
	8.2.3	. Message Transmission Validation	ð o
	0.2.4	UI level Message sequence validation 14	0 1
	826	Message Event Time Validation 14	0 ⊿
	827	Identification Code validation 14	5
	8.2.8	Recall Validation	6
	8.3 VALI	DATION SCOPE	7
	Valida	TION RESPONSIBILITY	8
	8.4		8
	8.5 VALI	DATION AND ERROR CODE14	9
	8.6 TRAN	NSITION PERIOD VALIDATION15	1
	8.6.1	overview	1
	8.6.2	Related controls15	1
	8.6.3	Primary and Router processing15.	2
	8.6.4	Empty Event	2
	0./ SEQ	UENCE VALIDATION GRACE PERIOD	2
	0./.1 872	UVELVIEW	∠ `?
	873	End of arace period 15	∠ `?
	874	Related controls	3
			-

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

#### dentsu TRACKING

	8.7.5	Primary and Router processing	. 153
8	8.8 Se	ECONDARY REPOSITORY SPECIAL PROCESSING OF TECHNICAL HISTORICAL DATA	.153
	8.8.1	Context	. 153
	8.8.2	MAINT_01: Repacking scenario	.154
	8.8.3	MAINT_02: Historical Transloading scenario	.155
	8.8.4	MAINT_03: Arrival before Deactivation	. 155
9	SIGN	UP PROCESS	157
-			
9	0.1 O	VERVIEW	.15/
9	0.2 O	VERALL FLOW	.157
	9.2.1	ID Issuer and Primary repositories providers	.157
	9.2.2	Technical Solution Provider	.157
	9.2.3	Economic Operator Validation	. 157
10	END	OPOINTS	158
11	LIS	T OF STANDARDS	159
12	REF	ERENCES	160

Figure 1 Overall system interconnection       15         Figure 2 EU Wide Register       16         Figure 3 Identifier codes general data flow       17         Figure 4 Unique identifier (U) general data flow       18         Figure 5 Transaction general data flow       20         Figure 6 Transaction general data flow       20         Figure 7 Recalls general data flow       20         Figure 9 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code 26         Figure 10 Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator       30         Figure 11 Correction of Information concerning a Facility       37         Figure 12 Issuing of a Facility Identifier code       32         Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility       37         Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs)       40         Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of and Machine       42         Figure 19 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers       44         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers       50         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers       50         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers       50         Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique id	Table of figures
Figure 2 EU Wide Register       16         Figure 3 Identifier codes general data flow       17         Figure 4 Unique identifier (UI) general data flow       18         Figure 5 Product movement data flow       20         Figure 6 Transaction general data flow       21         Figure 7 Recalls general data flow       21         Figure 9 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code 26       22         Figure 10 Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator       28         Figure 11 De-registration of an Economic operator Identifier code       32         Figure 13 Correction of Information concerning a Facility       34         Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility Identifier code       32         Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine       40         Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of Amachine Identifier code       40         Figure 19 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers       41         Figure 19 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers       42         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers       42         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers       42         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of unit level Unique identifiers       41	Figure 1 Overall system interconnection
Figure 3 Identifier codes general data flow       17         Figure 4 Unique identifier (UI) general data flow       18         Figure 5 Product movement data flow       20         Figure 6 Transaction general data flow       20         Figure 8 System Interfaces and Connections       22         Figure 9 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code 26       26         Figure 11 De-registration of an Economic operator       30         Figure 12 Issuing of a Facility Identifier code       32         Figure 13 Correction of Information concerning a Facility       34         Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility       34         Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of a Machine Identifier code       40         Figure 16 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of angregated level Unique identifiers       40         Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers       41         Figure 19 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers       42         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers       47         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of unit level Unique identifiers       50         Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers       50         Figure 22 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers       52	Figure 2 EU Wide Register16
Figure 4 Unique identifier (UI) general data flow	Figure 3 Identifier codes general data flow17
Figure 5 Product movement data flow       19         Figure 6 Transaction general data flow       20         Figure 7 Recalls general data flow       21         Figure 8 System Interfaces and Connections       21         Figure 9 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code 26       26         Figure 10 Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator       28         Figure 11 De-registration of an Economic operator       30         Figure 12 Issuing of a Facility Identifier code       32         Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility       34         Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine Identifier code       39         Figure 16 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine       40         Figure 18 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of angregated level Unique identifiers (upUIS)       60         for Unit packets       44         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (uUIS)       60         Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (uUIS)       70         Figure 22 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (uUIS)       70         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (uUIS) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers       50         Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated le	Figure 4 Unique identifier (UI) general data flow
Figure 6 Transaction general data flow 20 Figure 7 Recalls general data flow 21 Figure 8 System Interfaces and Connections 22 Figure 9 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code 26 Figure 10 Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator 28 Figure 11 De-registration of an Economic operator 30 Figure 12 Issuing of a Facility Identifier code 32 Figure 13 Correction of Information concerning a Facility 33 Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility 37 Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility 37 Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Achine 10 Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine 42 Figure 18 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine 42 Figure 19 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets 44 Figure 19 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers 52 Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers 52 Figure 23 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers 54 Figure 23 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers 54 Figure 24 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers 55 Figure 25 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers 56 Figure 25 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers 56 Figure 25 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (	Figure 5 Product movement data flow19
Figure 7 Recalls general data flow       21         Figure 8 System Interfaces and Connections       22         Figure 10 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code 26         Figure 11 De-registration of an Economic operator       28         Figure 13 Correction of Information concerning a Economic operator       30         Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility       34         Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine Identifier code       39         Figure 16 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine       40         Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine       42         Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine       42         Figure 18 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers       44         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers       50         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers       52         Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers       50         Figure 22 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers       54<	Figure 6 Transaction general data flow20
Figure 8 System Interfaces and Connections	Figure 7 Recalls general data flow21
Figure 9 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code 26 Figure 10 Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator	Figure 8 System Interfaces and Connections
Figure 10 Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator	Figure 9 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code 26
Figure 11 De-registration of an Economic operator	Figure 10 Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator
Figure 12 Issuing of a Facility Identifier Code       32         Figure 13 Correction of Information concerning a Facility       34         Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility       37         Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – Correction of Information concerning a Machine       40         Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine       42         Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine       42         Figure 18 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs)       64         for Unit packets       44         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers       44         (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers       47         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers       50         Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers       52         (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers       52         Figure 23 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique       54         Figure 24 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique       56         Figure 24 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique       56         Figure 24 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique       56         Figure 25 Data Flow Diagram – Applicati	Figure 11 De-registration of an Economic operator
Figure 13 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility	Figure 12 Issuing of a Facility Identifier code
Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of a Machine Identifier code	Figure 13 Correction of Information concerning a Facility
Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – Correction of Information concerning a Machine       40         Figure 16 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine	Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility
Figure 16 bata Flow Diagram - De-registration of a Machine       40         Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram - Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs)       44         Figure 18 Data Flow Diagram - Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs)       44         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram - Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs)       47         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram - Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs)       47         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram - Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (aUIs)       50         Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram - Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) - Request from Manufacturers and Importers       50         Figure 22 Data Flow Diagram - Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) - Request from Manufacturers and Importers       54         Figure 23 Data Flow Diagram - Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) - Request from Manufacturers and Importers       56         Figure 24 Data Flow Diagram - Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) - Request from Distributors and Wholesalers       58         Figure 25 Data Flow Diagram - Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets - Report from Manufacturers and Importers       60         Figure 26 Data Flow Diagram - Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging - Report from Manufacturers and Importers       64         Figure 27 Data Flow Diagram - Application of aggregated level Usique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggrega	Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram — Correction of Information concerning a Machine
Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine	
Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs)         for Unit packets.	Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram - Do-registration of a Machine 42
Figure 10 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers         (aUIs)       for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers	Figure 18 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (unIIIs)
Figure 19 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers 	for Unit nackets
(aUIs)       for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers	Figure 19 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers
47         Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers         (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers	(aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers
Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers 	
<ul> <li>(aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers</li> <li>Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers</li> <li>(upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers</li> <li>(upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers</li> <li>(upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers</li> <li>(upUs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers</li> <li>(upUs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers</li> <li>(upUs) on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers</li> <li>(0) Figure 26 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers</li> <li>(upUs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers</li> <li>(aUs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers</li> <li>(aUs) on Aggregated packaging by Distributors and Wholesalers</li> <li>(aUs on Aggregated packaging by Distributors and Wholesalers</li> <li>(aUs on Manufacturers and Importers</li> <li>(aUs on Ma</li></ul>	Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers
50 Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers	(aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers
Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers	
<ul> <li>(upUIs) - Request from Manufacturers and Importers</li></ul>	Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers
Figure 22 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers	(upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers
<ul> <li>(upUIs) - Request from Distributors and Wholesalers</li></ul>	Figure 22 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers
Figure 23 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers	(upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers
identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers	Figure 23 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique
Figure 24 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers	identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers
Identifiers (aUIs) - Request from Distributors and Wholesalers58Figure 25 Data Flow Diagram - Application of unit level Unique identifiers60Figure 26 Data Flow Diagram - Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers60Figure 26 Data Flow Diagram - Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers62Figure 27 Data Flow Diagram - Application of aggregated level UIs on64Aggregated packaging by Distributors and Wholesalers64Figure 28 Data Flow Diagram - Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility -66Figure 29 Data Flow Diagram - Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility -69Report from Distributors and Wholesalers69Figure 30 Data Flow Diagram - Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility -69Figure 31 Data Flow Diagram - Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility -71Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram - Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility -73Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram - Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility -73Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram - Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility -73Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram - Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility -73Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram - Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility -73Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram - Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility -73Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram - Trans-loading of tobacco products - Report from73	Figure 24 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique
Figure 25 Data Flow Diagram – Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers
<ul> <li>(upUIs) on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers</li></ul>	Figure 25 Data Flow Diagram – Application of unit level Unique identifiers
Figure 26 Data Flow Diagram - Application of aggregated level Unique Identifiers(aUIs) on Aggregated packaging - Report from Manufacturers and Importers62Figure 27 Data Flow Diagram - Application of aggregated level UIs onAggregated packaging by Distributors and Wholesalers	(upUIs) on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers
Figure 27 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging by Distributors and Wholesalers	Figure 26 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique Identifiers
Aggregated packaging by Distributors and Wholesalers	(aUIS) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers62
Figure 28 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	Figure 27 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level UIS on
Report from Manufacturers and Importers	Figure 28 Data Flow Diagram Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility
Figure 29 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	Pigure 26 Data Flow Diagram - Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility -
Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	Figure 29 Data Flow Diagram - Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility -
Figure 30 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	Penort from Distributors and Wholesalers
from Manufacturers and Importers	Figure 30 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report
Figure 31 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	from Manufacturers and Importers 71
from Distributors and Wholesalers	Figure 31 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report
Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading of tobacco products – Report from	from Distributors and Wholesalers
	Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading of tobacco products – Report from
Manufacturers and Importers75	Manufacturers and Importers

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

#### dentsu TRACKING

Figure 33 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading of tobacco products – Report from
Distributors and Wholesalers
Figure 34 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers
Figure 35 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report
from Distributors and Wholesalers
Figure 36 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to
multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers
Figure 37 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to
multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers
Figure 38 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers
and Importers
Figure 39 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and
Wholesalers
Figure 40 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from
Manufacturers and Importers93
Figure 41 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from
Distributors and Wholesalers
Figure 42 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of payment – Report from Manufacturers
and Importers
Figure 43 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of payment – Report from Distributors
Figure 44 Data Flow Diagram - Recalls of requests for unit level Unique
identifiers (upUIs)
Figure 45 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of requests for aggregated level Unique
identifiers (allis) – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers
Figure 46 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of requests for aggregated level Unique
identifiers (aUIs) – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers
Figure 47 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional
messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers
Figure 48 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional
messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers
Figure 49 System interfaces and endpoints106
Figure 50 EU Wide Register Flow118
Figure 51 Registry file structure119
Figure 52 Flat File structure120
Figure 53 Granular Flat File Structure
Figure 54 Offline Flat File structure
Figure 55 Router Data Flow
Figure 56 validation Overview

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Traceability system overview

On 3<sup>rd</sup> April 2014, the European Parliament and the Council adopted the Tobacco Products Directive 2014/40/EU (TPD). Article 15 of the TPD aims to address illicit trade in tobacco products by introducing a system of traceability in the Union.

Under this Traceability system, all Unit packets of tobacco products produced in, destined for, or placed on the EU market need to display a Unique Identifier (UI). The operational and transactional movements of the tobacco products must be recorded throughout the supply chain, from the Manufacturer to the last level before the first retail outlet. Information recorded is stored by independent data storage providers (Primary and Secondary Repositories), and the data is made available for regulatory purposes to the competent authorities of the Member States and to the Commission.

This Traceability system will contribute to reducing the circulation of tobacco products not compliant with the TPD and other tobacco control legislation. It will also reduce artificially cheap supplies of illegal tobacco products that affect the uptake and general prevalence of smoking. In this regard, it addresses the obligations of the European Union (EU) under the Framework Convention for Tobacco Control (FCTC). In the end, the Traceability system will play an important role in protecting public health, state budgets and Economic operators.

#### 1.2 Scope and objectives

The production and publication of a List of specifications and a Common Data Dictionary is required as an obligatory task for the providers of the Secondary repository in Article 28 of the Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/574.

The objective of this document is to communicate to the Traceability system stakeholders the list of specifications required to allow the data exchanges with the Secondary repository. The technical descriptions of data entities

The document is structured as follows:

- Section 2 Definitions. The description of the key concepts as defined in the EU Regulation.
- Section 3 Repositories system overview. A general description of the Repositories system including key design principles, role of the components, overview of the main processes and data flows, and overview of the system architecture.
- Section 4 Processes description. A detailed description of each of the process and its related data flows and messages, including requests of codes, report on operational events, reports on transactional events and recalls.
- **Section 5 Interfaces**. Description of the Traceability System interfaces between its various components.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

- **Section 6 Unique Identifiers.** Description of the decoding requirements to allow authorized third parties to decode the codes.
- Section 7 Router. Brief summary of the component in charge of routing information from ID Issuers and Supply Chain to related Manufacturer's Primary Systems.
- Section 8 Messages validation. Brief description of the validation mechanisms that the overall system should ensure to meet Regulation purposes.

**Note**: for the detailed and technical description of the data base entities and flows, operational and transactional method, security edge case, router definition, error messages, registration process and overall connection diagram, see the Data Dictionary document.

#### 1.3 Conventions

1.3.1 Message naming convention

The messages are described by a 3 or 4 alphanumeric characters code. In parenthesis, the message type reference of the Annex II of the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/574 will be indicated.

Example: REO (1.1)

#### 1.3.2 Message and codes sample

{ "Errors": null

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 2 Definitions

The following definitions are described in Article 2 of the Tobacco Products Directive 2014/40/EU and in Article 2 and 3 of the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/574. They are classified in alphabetical order.

**Aggregated packaging** is any packaging containing more than one Unit packet of tobacco products.

**Data carrier** is a means of representing data in machine readable form.

**Economic operators** are any natural or legal person who is involved in the trade of tobacco products, including for export, from the manufacturer to the last economic operator before the first retail outlet. This includes, but is not limited to, manufacturers, importers, wholesalers and distributors, as well as transport companies or providers of courier services.

**Facility** is any location, building or vending machine where tobacco products are manufactured, stored, or placed on the market.

**First retail outlet** is the Facility where tobacco products are placed on the market for the first time, including vending machines used for the sale of tobacco products.

**ID Issuer** is an entity appointed by each Member State, responsible for generating and issuing Unique identifier (UI) for Unit packet and Aggregated packaging of tobacco products.

**Importer** of tobacco or related products is the owner of, or a person having the right of disposal over, tobacco or related products that have been brought into the territory of the Union.

**Machine** is the equipment used for the manufacture of tobacco products which is integral to the manufacturing process.

**Manufacturer** is any natural or legal person who manufactures a product or has a product designed or manufactured, and markets that product under their name or trademark.

**Offline flat-files** are the electronic files established and maintained by each ID Issuer that contains data in a plain text format allowing for the extraction of the information encoded in the Unique identifier (UI) (excluding the time stamp) used at the Unit packet and Aggregated packaging levels without accessing the Repositories sprefix ystem.

**Primary repository** is a repository storing traceability data relating exclusively to the products of a given Manufacturer or Importer.



**Registry** means the record established and maintained by each ID Issuer of all the Identifier codes generated for Economic operators, Operators of first retail outlets, Facilities and Machines, along with the corresponding information.

**Repositories system** is the system consisting of the Primary repositories, the Secondary repository and the Router.

**Retail outlet** is any outlet where tobacco products are placed on the market, including by a natural person.

**Router** is a device established within the Secondary repository that transfers data between different components of the Repositories system.

**Secondary repository** is a repository containing a copy of all traceability data stored in the Primary repositories.

**Trans-loading** is any transfer of tobacco products from one vehicle to another during which tobacco products do not enter and exit a Facility.

**Unique identifier (UI)** is the alphanumeric code enabling the identification of a Unit packet or an Aggregated packaging of tobacco product.

**Unit packet** is the smallest individual packaging of a tobacco or related product that is placed in the market.

**Vending van** is a vehicle used for the delivery of tobacco products to multiple retail outlets in quantities that have not been predetermined in advance of the delivery.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 3 Repositories system overview

## 3.1 Key design principles

The Repositories system is composed of the following sub-systems: Primary repositories, Secondary repository, Router. The sub-systems shall be fully interoperable with one another, irrespective of the service provider.

The European Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/574 provided the following key design principles:

- Whenever data are received by the Primary repositories on the basis of a reporting activity, or for any other permitted reason, it shall be forwarded to the Secondary repository instantaneously.
- Economic operators other than Manufacturers and Importers shall send the information recorded to the Router, which shall transfer it to the Primary repository serving the Manufacturer or Importer whose tobacco products are concerned. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously to the Secondary repository.
- ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all offline flat-files, registries and related explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

#### 3.2 Roles of the Repositories system components

The following diagram represents the overall system interconnection.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 1 Overall system interconnection

#### 3.2.1 The Primary repositories

The Primary repositories are repositories contracted by each Manufacturer and Importer. The Primary repositories store product movements and transactional data related to the tobacco products Unit packets and Aggregated packaging of its corresponding Manufacturers and Importers. When the reports come from Distributors and Wholesalers, the data is sent via the Router.

#### 3.2.2 The Router

The Router dispatches the traceability data from the Wholesalers, Distributors, Transport companies or Providers of courier services to the Primary repository related to the Manufacturer or Importer of the dispatched tobacco products. The Router also transmits the Unique Identifiers generated by the ID Issuers to the relevant Primary repository or to the Secondary Repository if the UIs were requested by an Economic operator other than a Manufacturer or Importer. Finally, the Router transmits the offline flat-files and registries from the ID issuers to the Secondary repository.

#### 3.2.3 The Secondary repository

The Secondary repository is the "copy" of the Primary repositories for all traceability data. All data will first be centralized in the Primary repositories and then sent to the Secondary repository, with the exception of:

- the requests for aggregated level UIs by Distributors and Wholesalers;
- the reports on application of aggregated level UIs by Distributors and Wholesalers;

• the transfer by ID issuers of offline flat-files, registries and algorithms to the Secondary repository.

Those are directly transmitted via the Router to the Secondary repository.



The Secondary repository holds the EU Wide register

Figure 2 EU Wide Register

## 3.3 Overview of Data Dictionary processes

The following diagrams describe the different key processes and corresponding data flows that are implemented in the Traceability system. These processes and data flows are described in detail in section 4.

#### 3.3.1 Identifier codes for Economic operators, Facilities and Machines

The following diagram describes the key data flows whereby Economic operators such as Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers and Operators of first retail outlets request to an ID Issuer the generation of Identifier codes, the correction of information or a de-registration. Those Identifier codes can identify either the Economic operator, a Facility or a Machine.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 3 Identifier codes general data flow

The Economic operators exchange the necessary information with the ID issuer. The ID issuer issues the Identifier codes to the requesting Economic operators, and transfers offline flat-files and registries directly to the Secondary repository through the Router.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

3.3.2 Unique identifiers (UIs) for Unit packets and Aggregated packaging of tobacco products

The following diagram describes the key data flows whereby Economic operators such as Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, and Wholesalers request the issuing or deactivation of Unique identifiers (UIs) either for Unit packets or for Aggregated packaging of tobacco products.



#### Figure 4 Unique identifier (UI) general data flow

The Economic operators exchange the necessary information with the ID issuer. The ID issuer generates the codes and transmits the data to the corresponding Primary repositories or to the Secondary repository via the Router, before issuing the Unique identifiers (UIs) to the requesting Economic operators. The Primary repositories instantaneously forward the data to the Secondary repository.

The Secondary repository exposes a verification service allowing the ID issuer to check the validity of Identifier codes.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

dentsu TRACKING

19 / 160

#### 3.3.3 Report on Product movements

The following diagram describes the key data flows whereby Economic operators such as Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies or Providers of courier services report on tobacco products movements (application of UIs, dispatch, arrival, trans-loading, disaggregation, delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets).



Figure 5	Product	movement	data floi	N
----------	---------	----------	-----------	---

The Manufacturers and Importers store the data directly into their Primary repositories. The Distributors and Wholesalers send reports to the corresponding Primary repositories via the Router. The Primary repositories instantaneously forward the data to the Secondary repository.

#### 3.3.4 Report on Transactional events

The following diagram describes the key data flows whereby Economic operators such as Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, and Wholesalers report on tobacco products transactional events (issuing of the order number, issuing of the invoice, and receipt of the payment).



Figure 6 Transaction general data flow

The Manufacturers and Importers store the data directly into their Primary repositories. The Distributors and Wholesalers send reports to the corresponding Primary repositories via the Router. The Primary repositories instantaneously forward the data to the Secondary repository.

#### 3.3.5 Recalls

Recalls are to be delivered in the following flow. The system containing the Primary repositories, the Secondary repository and the Router is to be regarded as one system.

This system needs to have an efficient method of recalling an event over the whole group of repositories. To facilitate it, it is the point of entry of the system that must generate the recall code.

#### Use case 1. Router entry in the supply chain:

(i) The Economic operator calls the Router; (ii) the Router generates a UUID; (iii) the Router passes it to the relevant Primary repository; (iv) the Primary repository forwards it to the Secondary repository.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.



Should a recall be required, this can only be initiated from the Router, by the Distributor or Wholesaler Economic operator. The Router does the RCL call to the Router, the Router forwards this to the correct Primary repository, the Primary repository forwards this to the Secondary repository.

#### Use case 2. Primary repository entry from the Manufacturer system.

(i) The Economic operator calls the Primary repository; (ii) the Primary repository generates a UUID; (iii) the Primary repository passes this to the Secondary repository.

Should a recall be required, this can only be initiated from the Primary repository, by the Manufacturer or Importer Economic operator. The Primary repository does the RCL call to the Secondary repository.

#### **Technical details:**

The method the entry system must use to send the generated recall id is via the "code" property in the json payload.



Figure 7 Recalls general data flow

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 3.4 System Architecture



Figure 8 System Interfaces and Connections

The sub-systems of the Traceability System Architecture are as follows:

System	Description
Primary repository	Primary repository is a repository storing traceability data relating exclusively to the products of a given Manufacturer or Importer.
Secondary repository	Secondary repository is a repository containing a copy of all traceability data stored in each Primary repository.
Router	The Router enables messages to be forwarded and/or split to the related Primary repositories according to the declared ownership of the products.
ID issuer	ID Issuers are accountable to accommodate the request and generation of required identifiers (e.g., EOID, FID, MID, upUI, aUI), the storage of all associated data, and the sharing of National Registry, Flat-File, and algorithm compression/encryption techniques.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The interfaces of the Traceability System are as follows:

Interface acronym	Hosting system	Description
II2MN	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Manufacturers and Importers
II2DW	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Distributors and Wholesalers
PR2MN	Primary repository	Secure interface published by Primary repository providers for the internal Manufacturer system to push messages and recall those. No query nor data access for Manufacturer are allowed.
PR2AU	Primary repository	Secure interface published by Primary repository providers for competent Authorities
PR2RT	Primary repository	Secure interface published by Primary repository providers for Router communication
RT2II	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for the ID issuers.
RT2IIF	Router	File based Secure interface published by the Router for the ID issuers.
RT2EO	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for Manufacturers and Importers
RT2AU	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for Competent Authorities
SU2PR	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for the Primary repositories' providers
SU2CA	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Competent Authorities
SU2AU	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for auditing purposes
SU2RT	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Router
SU2RTF	Secondary repository	File based Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Router
SU2II	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Identifier Code verification purposes

# 3.5 Optional II2MN II2DW interfaces

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3 The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for

The ID Issuer defines the communication between the EO and the ID issuer corresponding to interfaces II2MN and II2DW.

The proposed messages presented in this List Of Specification are sample messages to illustrate the overall flow of data from the EO to the Secondary repository. These messages should be considered as a Guideline with no obligation of implementation.

All messages part of the II2MN and II2DW interfaces are marked as optional in this document.

Interface acronym	Hosting system	Description
II2MN	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Manufacturers and Importers
II2DW	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Distributors and Wholesalers

# 4 Processes description

The following section describes in details the different processes, the data flows related to them and the list of corresponding messages and interfaces which are described further in the document as well as in the Data Dictionary.

## 4.1 Issuing Identifier codes

#### 4.1.1 Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code

#### 4.1.1.1 Description – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code

Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies or Providers of courier services) and Operators of first retail outlets shall request Economic operator Identifier codes to the competent ID Issuers. The ID Issuers shall transmit the Identifier codes to the requesting Economic operators within two working days.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

*4.1.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code* The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an ID Issuer issues an Economic operator Identifier code.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 9 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	Fre	om	т	о
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
REO (1.1)	Request for an Economic operator Identifier code	Economic operators (Manufactur ers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services), Operators of first retail outlets		ID issuer	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

REOD	Issuing the Economic operator Identifier code	ID issuer	Economic operators (Manufactur ers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) Operators of first retail	
			first retail outlets	

#### 4.1.1.3 Messages – Issuing of an Economic operator Identifier code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID Issuer issues an Economic operator and issues an Economic operator Identifier code.

Process	Message code	Interface
Registration of an Economic operator	REO (1.1)	II2MN II2DW
	REOD	II2MN II2DW

#### 4.1.2 Correction of information concerning an Economic operator

4.1.2.1 Description – Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services), and Operators of first retail outlets can request to the competent ID Issuers the correction of the information submitted in the initial application form for Economic operator Identifier codes.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 4.1.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an Economic operator or Operator of first retail outlets requests the correction of information submitted in the initial application form for Economic operator Identifier codes.



Figure 10 Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	code description	Entity	System	Entity	System
CEO (1.2)	Request for the correction of information concerning an Economic operator	Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier		ID issuer	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

services), Operators of first retail outlets		
---	--	--

4.1.2.3 Messages – Correction of Information concerning an Economic operator The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer corrects information concerning an Economic operator or an Operator of first retail outlet.

Process	Message code	Interface
Correction of information concerning an Economic operator or an Operator of first retail outlet.	CEO (1.2)	II2MN II2DW

4.1.3 De-registration of Economic operator Identifier code

#### 4.1.3.1 Description – De-registration of Economic operator Identifier code

Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services), and Operators of first retail outlets can request to the competent ID issuers their de-registration.

Competent Authorities of Member States may also, in accordance with their national laws, require the ID issuer to deactivate an Economic operator Identifier code. In this case, this shall lead to the automatic deactivation of related Facility Identifier codes and Machine Identifier codes.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

# 4.1.3.2 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of Economic operator Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an ID Issuer de-registers an Economic operator or an Operator of a first retail outlet.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 11 De-registration of an Economic operator

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	Fron	า	Т	0
code	description	Entity	System	Entity	System
DEO (1.3)	Request for the de- registration of an Economic operator	Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services), Operators of first retail outlets		ID issuer	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

Competent Authorities of		
Member States		

#### 4.1.3.3 Messages – De-registration of Economic operator Identifier code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID Issuer de-registers an Economic operator or an Operator of a first retail outlet.

Process	Message code	Interface
De-registration of an Economic operator or an Operator of first retail outlet.	DEO (1.3)	II2MN II2DW
De-registration of related Facilities	DFA (1.6)	II2MN II2DW
De-registration of related Machines	DMA (1.9)	II2MN

#### 4.1.4 Issuing of a Facility Identifier code

#### 4.1.4.1 Description – Issuing of a Facility Identifier code

All facilities from manufacturing to the first retail outlet shall be identified by a Facility Identifier code generated by the ID Issuer competent for the territory in which the Facility is located. Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) and Operators of first retail outlets shall request Facility Identifier codes to the competent ID Issuer. The ID issuers shall transmit the Identifier codes to the requesting Economic operators within two working days.

In the case of a first retail outlet, the Facility Identifier code may be requested by another registered Economic operator, subject to the consent of the operator of the first retail outlet.

In the case of manufacturing facilities located outside the Union, it is the responsibility of the Importer established inside the Union to request the related Facility Identifier code to any ID Issuer appointed by a Member State on whose market they place their products.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

#### 4.1.4.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of a Facility Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an ID Issuer issues a Facility Identifier code.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 12 Issuing of a Facility Identifier code

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
RFA (1.4)	Request of a Facility Identifier code	Economic operators (Manufactur ers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers , Transport Companies, Providers of		ID issuer	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

		courier services) Operators of first retail outlets		
RFAD	Response issuing the Facility Identifier code	ID issuer	Economic operators (Manufactur ers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers , Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) Operators of first retail outlets	

#### 4.1.4.3 Messages – Issuing of a Facility Identifier code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues a Facility Identifier code.

Process	Message code	Interface
Registration of a Facility	RFA (1.4)	II2MN II2DW
	RFAD	

#### 4.1.5 Correction of information concerning a Facility Identifier code

# 4.1.5.1 Description – Correction of Information concerning a Facility Identifier code

Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) and Operators of first retail outlets can request to the competent ID issuers the correction of the information submitted in the initial application for Facility Identifier codes.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

#### 4.1.5.2 Data Flow Diagram – Correction of Information concerning a Facility Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an Economic operator or operator of first retail outlets requests the correction of information submitted in the initial application form for Facility Identifier codes.



Figure 13 Correction of Information concerning a Facility

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message description	From		То	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
CFA (1.5)	Request for the correction of information concerning a Facility	Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services)		ID issuer	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



First retail		
outlets		

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

#### 4.1.5.3 Messages – Correction of Information concerning a Facility Identifier Code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer corrects information concerning a Facility.

Process	Message code	Interface
Correction of information concerning a Facility	CFA (1.5)	II2MN I2DW

#### 4.1.6 De-registration of a Facility Identifier code

#### 4.1.6.1 Description – De-registration of a Facility Identifier code

Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) and Operators of first retail outlets can request to the competent ID issuers the de-registration of a Facility.

Competent Authorities of Member States may also, in accordance with their national laws, require the ID issuer to deactivate a Facility Identifier code. In this case, this shall lead to the automatic deactivation of related Machine Identifier codes.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

4.1.6.2 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby the ID issuer de-registers a Facility.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3


Figure 14 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Facility

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	description	Entity	System	Entity	System
DFA (1.6)	Request for the de- registration of a Facility	Economic operators (Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, Wholesalers, Transport Companies, Providers of courier services) First retail outlets		ID issuer	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.1.6.3 Messages – De-registration of a Facility Identifier code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer de-registers a Facility.

Process	Message code	Interface
De-registration of a Facility	DFA (1.6)	II2MN II2DW
De-registration of related Machines	DMA (1.9)	II2MN

### 4.1.7 Issuing of a Machine Identifier code

### 4.1.7.1 Description – Issuing of a Machine Identifier code

Each Machine shall be identified by a Machine Identifier code generated by the ID Issuer competent for the territory in which the Machine is located. Manufacturers and Importers shall request Machine Identifier codes to the competent ID issuers. The ID issuers shall transmit the codes to the requesting Economic operators within two working days.

In the case of Machines located in manufacturing facilities outside the Union, it is the responsibility of the Importer established inside the Union to request the related Machine Identifier code to any ID Issuer appointed by a Member State on whose market they place their products.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

### 4.1.7.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of a Machine Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues a Machine Identifier code.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 15 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of a Machine Identifier code

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	sage Message From		То		
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
RMA (1.7)	Request for a Machine Identifier code	Economic operators: Manufacture rs, Importers		ID issuer	
RMAD	Response issuing the Machine Identifier code	ID issuer		Economic operators: Manufacture rs, Importers	

### 4.1.7.3 Messages – Issuing of a Machine Identifier code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues a Machine Identifier code.

Process	Message code	Interface

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

39 / 160

Registration of a Machine	RMA (1.7)	II2MN
	RMAD	

4.1.8 Correction of information concerning a Machine Identifier code

### 4.1.8.1 Description – Correction of Information concerning a Machine Identifier code

Manufacturers and Importers can request to the competent ID issuers the correction of the information submitted in the initial application form for Machine Identifier codes.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary.

### 4.1.8.2 Data Flow Diagram – Correction of Information concerning a Machine Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby an Economic operator or operator of first retail outlets requests the correction of information submitted in the initial application form for Machine Identifier codes.



Figure 16 Data Flow Diagram – Correction of Information concerning a Machine

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

40 / 160

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message Message		From		То	
code	description	Entity	System	Entity	System
CMA (1.8)	Request the correction of information concerning a Machine	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers		ID issuer	

# 4.1.8.3 Messages – Correction of Information concerning a Machine Identifier code

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby the ID issuer corrects information concerning a Machine.

Process	Message code	Interface
Correction of Information concerning a Machine	CMA (1.8)	II2MN

### 4.1.9 De-registration of a Machine Identifier code

### 4.1.9.1 Description – De-registration of a Machine Identifier code

Manufacturers or Importers can request to the competent ID issuers the deregistration of a Machine.

Competent Authorities of Member States may also, in accordance with their national laws, require the ID issuer to deactivate a Machine Identifier code.

**Note:** The ID issuers are responsible of establishing registries relating to the Identifier codes and corresponding information submitted in the application form, along with explanatory notes on the structures thereof. The ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all registries and explanatory notes are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

### 4.1.9.2 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine

The diagram below depicts the data flows related to the process whereby the ID issuer de-registers a Machine.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 17 Data Flow Diagram – De-registration of a Machine

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	description	Entity	System	Entity	System
DMA (1.9)	Request the de- registration of a Machine	Economic operators: Manufacturers, Importers		II2MN	

### 4.1.9.3 Messages – De-registration of a Machine Identifier code

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer de-registers a Machine.

Process	Message code	Interface
De-registration of a Machine	DMA (1.9)	II2MN

### 4.2 Issuing Unique identifiers (UIs)

### 4.2.1 Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs)

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.2.1.1 Description – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets

Each Unit packet of tobacco product manufactured or imported in the Union shall be marked with a unit level Unique identifier (upUI). Manufacturers and Importers shall introduce an electronic request to the competent ID Issuer for unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs), supplying all the necessary information. Within two working days from the receipt of the request, the ID Issuer shall in the order indicated (i) generate the codes, (ii) transmit the codes and the related information via the Router to the Primary repository of the requesting Manufacturers and/or Importers, and (iii) electronically transmit the codes to the requesting Manufacturers and/or Importers. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository. In some cases, the Manufacturers and/or Importers might request the ID issuer to transmit the codes physically, within ten working days.

**Note:** In addition, the ID issuers are responsible of establishing offline flat-files for offline checks of Unique identifiers (UIs) by Member States. ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all offline flat-files are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary.

### 4.2.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Manufacturers and Importers request an ID issuer to generate unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets.



*Figure 18 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets* 

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
ISU (2.1)	Request for unit level UIs	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers		ID issuer	
ICV (optional )	Verification request for the Identificatio n Codes	ID issuer			Secondary repository
IRU	Reporting of unit level UIs generated	ID issuer			Router
IRU	Routing of unit level UIs generated		Router		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

44 / 160

	if message validated by the Router				
IRU	Transmissio n of unit level UIs generated if message validated by the Router		Secondary repository		Primary repository
IRU	Issuing of unit level UIs if message validated by the Router	ID issuer		Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers	

### 4.2.1.3 Messages – Issuing of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) for Unit packets.

Process	Message code	Interface
Issuing of unit level	(2.1) ISU	II2MN
Unique identifiers	ICV (optional)	SU2II
(upUIs) for Unit packets	IRU	RT2II
	IRU	
	IRU	PR2RT
	IRU	SU2PR

# 4.2.2 Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging by ID issuers

**Note:** There are two methods for an Economic Operator to assign or generate aUIs, either by requesting from the competent ID Issuer, or by generating it themselves based on the regulation. GS1 aggregate packaging identifiers are referenced in Annex II, such as SSCC in accordance with ISO15459-1. The following section describes the process whereby the Economic Operator requests aggregated level UIs to the competent ID issuer.

# 4.2.2.1 Issuing of aggregated level Unique Identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging by ID issuers – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.2.2.1.1 Description – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

Each Aggregated packaging of tobacco product shall be marked with an aggregated level Unique identifier (UI). Manufacturers and Importers shall introduce an electronic request to the competent ID Issuer for aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), supplying all the necessary information. Within two working days from the receipt of the request, the ID issuer shall in the order indicated (i) generate the codes, (ii) transmit the codes and the related information via the Router to the corresponding Primary repository and (iii) electronically transmit the codes to the requesting Economic operator. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

**Note:** Alternatively Economic operators can directly generate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), independently from any ID issuer and report it using message "Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging".

**Note:** In addition, the ID issuers are responsible of establishing offline flat-files for offline checks of Unique identifiers (UIs) by Member States. ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all offline flat-files are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary repository.

### 4.2.2.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Manufacturers and Importers request an ID issuer to generate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 19 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

47 / 160

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
ISA (2.2)	Request for aggregated level UIs	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers		ID issuer	
ICV (optional )	Verification request for the Identificatio n Codes	ID issuer			Secondary repository
IRA	Reporting of aggregated level UIs generated	ID issuer			Router
IRA	Routing of aggregated level UIs generated if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository
IRA	Transmissio n of aggregated level UIs generated		Primary repository		Secondary repository
IRA	Issuing of aggregated level UIs	ID issuer		Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers	

 4.2.2.1.3 Messages – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Manufacturers and Importers
 The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues aggregated level UIs for Aggregated packaging, after a request is made by Manufacturers or Importers.

Process	Message code	Interface
Issuing of aggregated	ISA (2.2)	II2MN
level Unique identifiers	ICV (optional)	SU2II
(aUIs) for Aggregated	IRA	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

48 / 160

packaging – Request	IRA	RT2II
from Manufacturers and	IRA	PR2RT
Importers	IRA	SU2PR

### 4.2.2.2 Issuing of aggregated level Unique Identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging by ID issuers – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

### 4.2.2.2.1 Description – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

Each Aggregated packaging of tobacco product shall be marked with an aggregated level Unique identifier (aUI). Distributors and Wholesalers shall introduce an electronic request to the competent ID issuer for aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), supplying all the necessary information. Within two working days from the receipt of the request, the ID issuer shall, in the order indicated, (i) generate the codes, (ii) transmit the codes and the related information via the Router to the Secondary repository and (iii) electronically transmit the codes to the requesting Economic operator.

**Note:** Alternatively Economic operators can directly generate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), independently from any ID issuer and report it using the "Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging".

**Note:** In addition, the ID issuers are responsible of establishing offline flat-files for offline checks of Unique identifiers (UIs) by Member States. ID issuers shall ensure that an up-to-date copy of all offline flat-files are electronically provided via the Router to the Secondary.

### 4.2.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Distributors and Wholesalers requests an ID issuer to generate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 20 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio	Entity	System	Entity	System
	n				
ISA (2.2)	Request for aggregated level UIs	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers		ID issuer	
ICV	Verification	ID issuer			Secondary
(optional )	request for the				repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

50 / 160

	Identificatio n Codes				
IRA	Reporting of aggregated level UIs generated	ID issuer			Router
IRA	Routing of aggregated level UIs generated if message validated by the Router		Router		Secondary repository
IRA	Issuing of aggregated level UIs if message validated by the Router	ID issuer		Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers	

### 4.2.2.2.3 Messages – Issuing of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) for Aggregated packaging – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby the ID issuer issues aggregated level UIs for Aggregated packaging, after a request is made by Distributors or Wholesalers.

Process	Message code	Interface
Issuing of aggregated	ISA (2.2)	II2DW
level Unique identifiers	ICV (optional)	SU2II
(aUIs) for Aggregated	IRA	
packaging – Request	IRA	RT2II
from Distributors and	IRA	SU2RT
Wholesalers		

### 4.2.3 Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs)

### 4.2.3.1 Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

### 4.2.3.1.1 Description – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

Following the application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs), Manufacturers and Importers may obtain their deactivation by electronically transmitting the deactivation request to the Primary repository contracted by them. The deactivation shall not interfere with the integrity of the information already stored related to the Unique identifier.

**Note**: This process differs from the automatic deactivation of the UIs that occurs when the UIs have not been used after the six-months period of validity. It also differs from the process of Recall, whereby Manufacturers and Importers can cancel within one working day a request for issuance of UIs.

### 4.2.3.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (uUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Manufacturers and Importers deactivate unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) in the Primary repository contracted by them.



Figure 21 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
IDA (2.3)	Request deactivatio n of unit level UIs	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
IDA (2.3)	Transmissi on of deactivated unit level UIs		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.2.3.1.3 Messages – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers deactivate unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) in the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Deactivation of unit level	IDA (2.3)	PR2MN
Unique identifiers	IDA (2.3)	SU2PR
(upUIs) – Request from		
Manufacturers and		
Importers		

### 4.2.3.2 Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

### 4.2.3.2.1 Description – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

Following the application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs), Distributors and Wholesalers may obtain their deactivation by electronically transmitting the deactivation request to the Router that will route the request to the corresponding Primary repository. The deactivation shall not interfere with the integrity of the information already stored related to the Unique identifier.

**Note:** This process differs from the process of automatic deactivation of the UIs when the UIs have not been used after the six-month period of validity. It also differs from the process of recall, whereby Distributors and Wholesalers can cancel a request sent within one working day.

# 4.2.3.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Distributors and Wholesalers request a Primary repository via the Router to deactivate unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs).

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



*Figure 22 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers* 

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
IDA (2.3)	Request deactivatio n of unit level UIs	Economic operators: Distributor s, Wholesaler s			Router
IDA (2.3)	Routing request deactivatio n of unit level UIs		Router		Primary repository
IDA (2.3)	Transmissi on of deactivated unit level UIs		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

#### 4.2.3.2.3 Messages – Deactivation of an aggregated Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers deactivate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) in the corresponding Primary repository via the Router.

Process	Message code	Interface
Deactivation of unit level	IDA (2.3)	RT2EO
Unique identifiers (uUIs)	IDA (2.3)	PR2RT
<ul> <li>Request from</li> </ul>	IDA (2.3)	SU2PR
Distributors and		
Wholesalers		

### 4.2.4 Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs)

# 4.2.4.1 Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

### 4.2.4.1.1 Description – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

Following the application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), Manufacturers and Importers may obtain their deactivation by electronically transmitting the deactivation request to the Primary repository contracted by them. The deactivation shall not interfere with the integrity of the information already stored related to the Unique identifier.

**Note**: This process differs from the automatic deactivation of the UIs that occurs when the UIs have not been used after the six-month period of validity. It also differs from the process of Recall, whereby Manufacturers and Importers can cancel within one working day a request for issuance of UIs.

# 4.2.4.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Manufacturers and Importers deactivate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) in the Primary repository contracted by them.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 23 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
IDA (2.3)	Request deactivatio n of aggregated level UIs	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
IDA (2.3)	Transmissi on of deactivated aggregated level UIs		Primary repository		Secondary repository

### 4.2.4.1.3 Messages – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers deactivate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) in the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Deactivation of	IDA (2.3)	PR2MN
aggregated level Unique	IDA (2.3)	SU2PR
identifiers (aUIs) –		
Request from		
Manufacturers and		
Importers		

### 4.2.4.2 Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

### 4.2.4.2.1 Description – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

Following the application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs), Distributors and Wholesalers may obtain their deactivation by electronically transmitting the deactivation request to the Router that will route the request to the Secondary repository. The deactivation shall not interfere with the integrity of the information already stored related to the Unique identifier.

**Note:** This process differs from the process of automatic deactivation of the UIs when the UIs have not been used after the six-month period of validity. It also differs from the process of recall, whereby Distributors and Wholesalers can cancel a request sent within one working day.

# 4.2.4.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction when Distributors and Wholesalers request the Router to deactivate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs).

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 24 Data Flow Diagram – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System	
IDA (2.3)	Request deactivatio n of aggregated level UIs	Economic operators: Distributor s, Wholesaler s			Router
IDA (2.3)	Routing request deactivatio n of aggregated level UIs		Router		Primary repository
IDA (2.3)	Transmissi on of deactivated aggregated level UIs		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

58 / 160

### 4.2.4.2.3 Messages – Deactivation of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) – Request from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers deactivate aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) via the Router.

Process	Message code	Interface
Deactivation of	IDA (2.3)	RT2EO
aggregated level Unique	IDA (2.3)	PR2RT
identifiers (aUIs) –	IDA (2.3)	SU2PR
Request from		
Distributors and		
Wholesalers		

### 4.2.5 Automatic deactivation of Unique Identifiers

The automatic deactivation of Unique Identifiers is be performed on the Primary repository or the Distributors and Wholesalers. The deactivation messages will be sent to the Secondary repository or the Router.

### 4.3 Reporting operational events (product movement information)

4.3.1 Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets

### 4.3.1.1 Description – Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

Each Unit packet of tobacco product manufactured or imported in the Union shall be marked with a unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs). Once they collect the unit level codes from the ID issuer, Manufacturers and Importers incorporate the Unique identifiers (UIs) into the Data Carriers. Once the Data Carriers are printed/affixed onto the Unit packets, they are read and verified with scanners. When the Data Carriers are unreadable, the Manufacturers and Importers shall deactivate the corresponding unit level UIs. When the Data Carriers are readable, the Manufacturers and Importers shall validate them and report the corresponding unit level UIs to the Primary repository contracted by them, as described below. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

### 4.3.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report unit level UIs to the Primary repository contracted by them.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



*Figure 25 Data Flow Diagram – Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers* 

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message Message			То	
code descriptio n	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
EUA (3.1)	Report the application of unit level UI on Unit packet	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
EUA (3.1)	Transmit information on the application of unit level UI on Unit packet if message validated by Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.3.1.3 Messages – Application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets by Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the application of unit level Unique identifiers (upUIs) on Unit packets to the Primary Repositories contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Application of unit level	EUA (3.1)	PR2MN
UI on Unit packets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers	EUA (3.1)	SU2PR

- 4.3.2 Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging
- 4.3.2.1 Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging Report from Manufacturers and Importers

### 4.3.2.1.1 Description – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

Where Manufacturers or Importers choose to comply with the recording obligations by means of the recording of aggregated packaging, each aggregated packaging of tobacco product shall be marked with an aggregated level Unique identifier (aUI). Manufacturers and Importers can request aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) to the competent ID Issuer or directly generate those following open standards (e.g. STGIN / SSCC). Once they collect the aggregated level codes from the ID issuer, Manufacturers and Importers incorporate the aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) into the Data Carriers. Once the Data Carriers are applied onto the Aggregated packaging, they are read and verified with scanners. When the Data Carriers are unreadable, the Manufacturers and Importers shall deactivate the corresponding aggregated level UIs. When the Data Carriers are readable, the Manufacturers and Importers shall validate them and report the corresponding aggregated level UIs to the Primary repository contracted by them, as described below. The report shall contain the list of all Unique identifiers (UIs) that are subject to aggregation, both at Unit packet and Aggregated packaging levels. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

# 4.3.2.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) to the Primary repository contracted by them.



Figure 26 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message Message		From		То	
code descriptio	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
EPA (3.2)	Report the application of aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
EPA (3.2)	Transmit information on the application of aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

if message validated		
by Primary repository		

### 4.3.2.1.3 Messages – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging.

Process	Message code	Interface
Application of	EPA (3.2)	PR2MN
aggregated level Unique	EPA (3.2)	SU2PR
identifiers (aUIs) on		
Aggregated packaging –		
Report from		
Manufacturers and		
Importers		

# 4.3.2.2 Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

### 4.3.2.2.1 Description – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

Where Distributors or Wholesalers choose to comply with the recording obligations by means of the recording of aggregated packaging, each Aggregated packaging of tobacco product shall be marked with an aggregated level Unique identifier (UI). Distributors and Wholesalers can request aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) to the competent ID issuer. Once they collect the aggregated level codes from the ID issuer, Distributors and Wholesalers incorporate the aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) into the Data Carriers. Once the Data Carriers are applied onto the Aggregated packaging, they are read and verified with scanners. When the Data Carriers are unreadable, Distributors and Wholesalers shall deactivate the corresponding aggregated level UIs. When the Data Carriers are readable, Distributors and Wholesalers shall validate them and report the aggregated level UIs to the Secondary repository via the Router, as described below. The report shall contain the list of all Unique identifiers (UIs) that are subject to aggregation, both at Unit packet and Aggregated packaging levels.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

#### 4.3.2.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) to the Secondary repository via the Router.



Figure 27 Data Flow Diagram – Application of aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging by Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio	Entity	System	Entity	System
	n				
EPA (3.2)	Report the application of	Economic operators: Distributors			Router
	aggregated				

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

	level UIs on Aggregated packaging	, Wholesalers		
EPA (3.2)	Route the application of		Router	Primary repository
	aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging			
EPA (3.2)	Route the application of aggregated level UIs on Aggregated packaging		Primary repository	Secondary repository

### 4.3.2.2.3 Messages – Application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging by Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesaler report the application of aggregated level Unique identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging.

Process	Message code	Interface
Application of	EPA (3.2)	RT2EO
aggregated level Unique	EPA (3.2)	PR2RT
identifiers (aUIs) on Aggregated packaging – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	EPA (3.2)	SU2PR

### 4.3.3 Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility

### 4.3.3.1 Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

### 4.3.3.1.1 Description – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

Manufacturers and Importers shall report to the Primary repository contracted by them the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility within 24 hours prior to the occurrence of the event. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.3.3.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility.



*Figure 28 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers* 

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message Message			То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
EDP (3.3)	Report the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
EDP (3.3)	Transmit information on the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility, if message validated by the Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.3.3.1.3 Messages – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility.

Process	Message code	Interface
Dispatch of tobacco	EDP (3.3)	PR2MN
products from a Facility – Report from	EDP (3.3)	SU2PR
Manufacturers and		
Importers		

### 4.3.3.2 Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

### 4.3.3.2.1 Description – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

Distributors and Wholesalers shall report to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility within 24 hours prior to the occurrence of the event. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

### 4.3.3.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 29 Data Flow Diagram – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message descriptio n	From		То	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
EDP (3.3)	Report the dispatch of tobacco products form a Facility	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router
EDP (3.3)	Route the information on the dispatch of tobacco products		Router		Primary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

	form a Facility, if message validated by the Router		
EDP (3.3)	Transmit the information on the dispatch of tobacco products form a Facility, if message validated by the Router	Primary repository	Secondary repository

### 4.3.3.2.3 Messages – Dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the dispatch of tobacco products from a Facility.

Process	Message code	Interface
Dispatch of tobacco	EDP (3.3)	RT2EO
products from a Facility	EDP (3.3)	PR2RT
<ul> <li>Report from</li> </ul>	EDP (3.3)	SU2PR
Distributors and		
Wholesalers		

### 4.3.4 Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility

### *4.3.4.1 Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers*

### 4.3.4.1.1 Description – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

Manufacturers and Importers shall report the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility to the Primary repository contracted by them. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.3.4.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility.



Figure 30 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message code	Message descriptio n	From		То	
		Entity	System	Entity	System
ERP (3.4)	Report the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
ERP (3.4)	Transmit information on the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility, if message validated by the Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.3.4.1.3 Messages – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Arrival of tobacco	ERP (3.4)	PR2MN
products at a Facility –	ERP (3.4)	SU2PR
Report from		
Manufacturers and		
Importers		

# 4.3.4.2 Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

# 4.3.4.2.1 Description – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

Distributors and Wholesalers shall report the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

### 4.3.4.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3


Figure 31 Data Flow Diagram – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То		
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System	
ERP (3.4)	Report the dispatch of tobacco products form a Facility	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router	
ERP (3.4)	Route the information on the dispatch of tobacco products form a Facility, if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository	
ERP (3.4)	Transmit		Primary repository		Secondary	
			· epositor y		· sposicor y	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

73 / 160

information		
on the		
dispatch of		
tobacco		
products		
form a		
Facility, if		
message		
validated		
by the		
Router		

# 4.3.4.2.3 Messages – Arrival of tobacco products at a Facility – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the arrival of tobacco products at a Facility.

Process	Message code	Interface
Dispatch of tobacco	ERP (3.4)	RT2EO
products from a Facility	ERP (3.4)	PR2RT
<ul> <li>Report from</li> <li>Distributors and</li> <li>Wholesalers</li> </ul>	ERP (3.4)	SU2PR

### 4.3.5 Trans-loading

### 4.3.5.1 Trans-loading – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

# 4.3.5.1.1 Description – Trans-loading – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

Trans-loading is any transfer of tobacco products from one vehicle to another during which tobacco products do not enter or exit a Facility. Manufacturers and Importers shall report trans-loading events to the Primary repository contracted by them, within 24 hours prior to the occurrence of the event. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

### 4.3.5.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them the trans-loading of tobacco products.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 32 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading of tobacco products – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
ETL (3.5)	Report the trans- loading of tobacco products	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
ETL (3.5)	Transmit information on the trans- loading of tobacco products, if message validated by Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

# 4.3.5.1.3 Messages – Trans-loading – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the trans-loading of tobacco products to the Primary repository contracted by them.

|--|

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

75 / 160

Trans-loading of tobacco	ETL (3.5)	PR2MN
products – Report from	ETL (3.5)	SU2PR
Manufacturers and		
Importers		

## *4.3.5.2 Trans-loading – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers*

# 4.3.5.2.1 Description – Trans-loading – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

Trans-loading is any transfer of tobacco products from one vehicle to another during which tobacco products do not enter and exit a Facility. Distributors and Wholesalers shall report trans-loading events to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router, within 24 hours prior to the occurrence of the event. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

# 4.3.5.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router the trans-loading of tobacco products.



Figure 33 Data Flow Diagram – Trans-loading of tobacco products – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3 76 / 160 The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From	То		
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
ETL (3.5)	Report the trans- loading of tobacco products	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router
ETL (3.5)	Route the information on the trans- loading of tobacco products, if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository
ETL (3.5)	Transmit the information on the trans- loading of tobacco products, if message validated by the Router		Primary repository		Secondary repository

# 4.3.5.2.3 Messages– Trans-loading – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the trans-loading of tobacco products.

Process	Message code	Interface
Trans-loading of tobacco	ETL (3.5)	RT2EO
products – Report from	ETL (3.5)	PR2RT
Distributors and Wholesalers	ETL (3.5)	SU2PR

# 4.3.6 Disaggregation of aggregated level Unique identifier (UI)

# *4.3.6.1 Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers*

# 4.3.6.1.1 Description – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

In the case of a disaggregation event whereby an aggregated level Unique identifier (aUI) was initially generated by an Economic operator and the Economic operator wants to reuse it in future operations, Manufacturers and Importers shall report the disaggregation of aggregated level Unique identifier (aUI) event to the Primary repository contracted by them. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

**Note**: The disaggregation report is only mandatory when the aggregated UI has been generated by the Economic Operator and will be re-used in future operations. The disaggregation of an aggregated packaging and its corresponding aggregated level UI does not lead to the deactivation of the unit level or aggregated level UIs that were contained in it.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.3.6.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them the disaggregation of aggregated level Unique identifier (UI).



Figure 34 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
EUD (3.6)	Report the disaggregat ion of aggregated level UIs	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
EUD (3.6)	Transmit information on the disaggregat ion of aggregated level UIs, if message validated by Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.3.6.1.3 Messages – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Disaggregation of	EUD (3.6)	PR2MN
aggregated level UIs –	EUD (3.6)	SU2PR
Report from Manufacturers		
and Importers		

# 4.3.6.2 Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

# 4.3.6.2.1 Description – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

In the case of a disaggregation event whereby an aggregated level Unique identifier (aUI) was initially generated by an Economic operator and the Economic operator wants to reuse it in future operations, Distributors and Wholesalers shall report the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs to the Secondary repository via the Router.

**Note**: The disaggregation report is only mandatory when the aggregated UI has been generated by the Economic Operator and will be re-used in future operations. The disaggregation of an aggregated packaging and its corresponding aggregated level UI does not lead to the deactivation of the unit level or aggregated level UIs that were contained in it.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.3.6.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report to the Secondary repository via the Router the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs.



Figure 35 Data Flow Diagram – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From	rom To		
code	descriptio	Entity	System	Entity	System
EUD (3.6)	Report the disaggregat ion of	Economic operators: Distributors			Router

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

81 / 160

	aggregated level UIs	, Wholesalers		
EUD (3.6)	Route the information on the disaggregat ion of aggregated level UIs, if message validated by the Router		Router	Primary repository
EUD (3.6)	Route the information on the disaggregat ion of aggregated level UIs		Primary repository	Secondary repository

## 4.3.6.2.3 Messages – Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the disaggregation of aggregated level UIs.

Process	Message code	Interface
Disaggregation of	EUD (3.6)	RT2EO
aggregated level UIs –	EUD (3.6)	PR2RT
Report from Distributors	EUD (3.6)	SU2PR
and Wholesalers		

### 4.3.7 Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets

# 4.3.7.1 Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

# 4.3.7.1.1 Description – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

Vending van is a vehicle used for the delivery of tobacco products to multiple retail outlets in quantities that have not been predetermined in advance of the delivery. Manufacturers and Importers shall report these events to the Primary repository contracted by them. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 4.3.7.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report to the Primary repository contracted by them delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets.



Figure 36 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
EVR (3.7)	Report a delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
EVR (3.7)	Transmit information on delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

outlets, if		
message		
validated		
by Primary		
repository		

# 4.3.7.1.3 Messages – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report a delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets.

Process	Message code	Interface
Delivery carried out with	EVR (3.7)	PR2MN
a vending van to	EVR (3.7)	SU2PR
multiple retail outlets –		
Report from		
Manufacturers and		
Importers		

# 4.3.7.2 Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

# 4.3.7.2.1 Description – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

Vending van is a vehicle used for the delivery of tobacco products to multiple retail outlets in quantities that have not been predetermined in advance of the delivery. Distributors and Wholesalers shall report these events to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

# 4.3.7.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router the trans-loading of tobacco products.



*Figure 37 Data Flow Diagram – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers* 

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
EVR (3.7)	Report a delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router
EVR	Route the		Router		Primary
(3.7)	information				repository
	on delivery				

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

85 / 160

	carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets, if message validated by the Router		
EVR (3.7)	Transmit the information on delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets, if message validated by the Router	Primary repository	Secondary repository

# 4.3.7.2.3 Messages – Delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report a delivery carried out with a vending van to multiple retail outlets.

Process	Message code	Interface
Delivery carried out with	EVR (3.7)	RT2EO
a vending van to	EVR (3.7)	PR2RT
multiple retail outlets – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers	EVR (3.7)	SU2PR

# 4.4 Reporting transactional events (trade information)

### 4.4.1 Issuing of the invoice

# 4.4.1.1 Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

# 4.4.1.1.1 Description – Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

When in the position of vendors, Manufacturers and Importers shall report the issuing of invoice to the Primary repository contracted by them. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 4.4.1.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the issuing of invoice to the Primary repository contracted by them.



Figure 38 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From	То		
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
EIV (4.1)	Report the issuing of invoice	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
EIV (4.1)	Transmit information on the issuing of invoice, if message validated by the Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 4.4.1.1.3 Messages – Issuing of invoice – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the issuing of invoice to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface	
Issuing of invoice –	EIV (4.1)	PR2MN	
Report from	EIV (4.1)	SU2PR	
Manufacturers and			
Importers			

### *4.4.1.2 Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers*

# 4.4.1.2.1 Description – Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

When in the position of vendors, Distributors and Wholesalers shall report the issuing of invoice to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

# 4.4.1.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the issuing of invoice to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 39 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3 The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and ( 90 / 160

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То		
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System	
EIV (4.1)	Report the issuing of invoice	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router	
EIV (4.1)	Route the information on the issuing of invoice, if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository	
EIV (4.1)	Transmit the information on the issuing of invoice, if message validated by the Router		Primary repository		Secondary repository	

# 4.4.1.2.3 Messages – Issuing of invoice – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the issuing of invoice to the corresponding Primary repository.

Process	Message code	Interface
Issuing of invoice –	EIV (4.1)	RT2EO
Report from Distributors	EIV (4.1)	PR2RT
and Wholesalers	EIV (4.1)	SU2PR

### 4.4.2 Issuing of the order number

4.4.2.1 Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

#### 4.4.2.1.1 Description – Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

When in the position of vendors, Manufacturers and Importers shall report the issuing of order number to the Primary repository contracted by them. Depending on the characteristics of the Economic operator, the report shall be transmitted within 3 or 24 hours from the occurrence of the event. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 4.4.2.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the issuing of order number to the Primary repository contracted by them.



Figure 40 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
EPO (4.2)	Report the issuing of order number	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
EPO (4.2)	Transmit information on the issuing of order number, if message validated by the Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.4.2.1.3 Messages – Issuing of order number – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the issuing of order number to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Issuing of order number	EPO (4.2)	PR2MN
<ul> <li>Report from</li> </ul>	EPO (4.2)	SU2PR
Manufacturers and		
Importers		

# *4.4.2.2 Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers*

## 4.4.2.2.1 Description – Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

When in the position of vendors, Distributors and Wholesalers shall report the issuing of order number to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

# 4.4.2.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the issuing of order number to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 41 Data Flow Diagram – Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
EPO (4.2)	Report the issuing of order number	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router
EPO (4.2)	Route the information on the issuing of order number, if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository
EPO (4.2)	Transmit information on the issuing of order number, if message validated by the Router		Primary repository		Secondary repository

# 4.4.2.2.3 Messages – Issuing of order number – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the issuing of order number to the corresponding Primary repository.

Process	Message code	Interface
Issuing of order number	EPO (4.2)	RT2EO
<ul> <li>Report from</li> </ul>	EPO (4.2)	PR2RT
Distributors and	EPO (4.2)	SU2PR
Wholesalers		

### 4.4.3 Receipt of the payment

### *4.4.3.1* Receipt of the payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

## 4.4.3.1.1 Description – Receipt of the payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

When in the position of vendors, Manufacturers and Importers shall report the receipt of payment to the Primary repository contracted by them. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 4.4.3.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of the payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the receipt of payment to the Primary repository contracted by them.



Figure 42 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From	From		
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
EPR (4.3)	Report the receipt of payment	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
EPR (4.3)	Transmit information on the receipt of payment, if message validated by the Primary repository		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

### 4.4.3.1.3 Messages – Receipt of the payment – Report from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers report the receipt of payment to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Receipt of the payment –	EPR (4.3)	PR2MN
Report from	EPR (4.3)	SU2PR
Manufacturers and		
Importers		

# 4.4.3.2 Receipt of payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

# 4.4.3.2.1 Description – Receipt of the payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

When in the position of vendors, Distributors and Wholesalers shall report the receipt of payment to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

# 4.4.3.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of the payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the receipt of payment to the corresponding Primary repository via the Router.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



Figure 43 Data Flow Diagram – Receipt of payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesaler

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
EPR (4.3)	Report the receipt of payment	Economic operators: Distributors , Wholesalers			Router
EPR (4.3)	Route the information on the receipt of payment, if message validated by the Router		Router		Primary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

EPR (4.3) Tr in or re pa m va by Re	ransmit oformation n the eceipt of ayment, if nessage alidated y the outer	Primary repository	Secondary repository

# 4.4.3.2.3 Messages – Receipt of the payment – Report from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers report the receipt of payment to the corresponding Primary repository.

Process	Message code	Interface
Receipt of payment –	EPR (4.3)	RT2EO
Report from Distributors	EPR (4.3)	PR2RT
and Wholesalers	EPR (4.3)	SU2PR

# 4.5 Recalls of requests, operational and transactional messages

4.5.1 Recalls of operational and transactional messages

# *4.5.1.1 Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers*

# 4.5.1.1.1 Description – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers

In order to recall reports concerning operational or transactional events, Manufacturers and Importers shall send a recall message to the Primary repository contracted by them, including the Message Recall Code previously transmitted by the Primary repository. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository. Reasons for Recalls are either that the reported event did not materialize (for Dispatch and Trans-loading events, since they must be reported prior to the occurrence of the event), the original message contained erroneous information, or other reason. A recall with respect to operational events results in flagging the recalled message as cancelled but does not lead to the deletion of the existing database record.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 4.5.1.1.2 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers recall an operational or transactional message to the Primary repository contracted by them.



Figure 44 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message	Message	From		То	
code descrip n	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
RCL (5)	Recall of operational and transaction al event message	Economic operators: Manufactur ers, Importers			Primary repository
RCL (5)	Transmit information on the recall of operational and transaction al event message, if message validated by the		Primary repository		Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

102 / 160

Primary		
repository		

### 4.5.1.1.3 Messages – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Manufacturers and Importers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Manufacturers and Importers recall an operational or transactional message to the Primary repository contracted by them.

Process	Message code	Interface
Recall of operational and	RCL (5)	PR2MN
transactional event	RCL (5)	SU2PR
message – Recalls from		
Manufacturers and		
Importers		

# 4.5.1.2 Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers

## 4.5.1.2.1 Description – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers

In order to recall reports concerning operational or transactional events, Distributors and Wholesalers shall send a recall message to the Router, including the Message Recall Code previously transmitted by the Router. The Router shall report the recall to the corresponding Primary repository. A copy of those data shall be transferred instantaneously from the Primary repository to the Secondary repository. Reasons for Recalls are either that the reported event did not materialize (for Dispatch and Trans-loading events, since they must be reported prior to the occurrence of the event), the original message contained erroneous information, or other reason. A recall with respect to operational events results in flagging the recalled message as cancelled but does not lead to the deletion of the existing database record.

# 4.5.1.2.2 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers

The diagram below depicts the data flow interaction related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers recall an operational or transactional message to the Router.



Figure 45 Data Flow Diagram – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers

The following table summarizes the messages, entities and systems displayed in the data flow diagram above.

Message Message		From	From		
code descriptio n	descriptio n	Entity	System	Entity	System
RCL (5)	Recall of operational and transaction al event message	Economic operators: Distributors and Wholesalers			Router
RCL (5)	Route the Recall of operational and transaction al event message, if		Router		Primary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

	message validated by the Router		
RCL (5)	Transmit the Recall of operational and transaction al event message, if message validated by the Router	Primary repository	Secondary repository

### 4.5.1.2.3 Messages – Recalls of operational and transactional messages – Recalls from Distributors and Wholesalers

The table below summarizes the messages and interfaces related to the process whereby Distributors and Wholesalers recall an operational or transactional message to the Router.

Process	Message code	Interface
Recall of operational and	RCL (5)	RT2EO
transactional event	RCL (5)	PR2RT
message – Recalls from	RCL (5)	SU2PR
Distributors and		
Wholesalers		

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 5 Interfaces

# 5.1 Overview

The interfaces of the Traceability System are as follows:



Figure 46 System interfaces and endpoints

# 5.2 Secondary repository and Router interface

The Secondary repository offers two methods of interaction:

a) An application programmable interface (API)

This is the main entry point for data ingress from the ID issuer, the Primary repositories and the Router.

b) A graphical user interface (GUI) The GUI is used for reporting purposes and some entity sign up processes.

All of the GUIs offered rely on browser-based html/JavaScript technics and support current browsers from major browser suppliers (e.g. Google Chrome, Internet Explorer, Firefox, Opera)

5.2.1 Secondary repository and Router application programmable interface

Interface	Hosting	Description
acronym	system	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

106 / 160



RT2II	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for the ID issuers.
RT2IIF	Router	Secure File interface published by the Router for the ID issuers.
RT2EO	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for Manufacturers and Importers
RT2AU	Router	Secure interface published by the Router for Competent Authorities
SU2PR	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for the primary repository's providers
SU2CA	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for competent authorities
SU2AU	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for auditing purposes
SU2RT	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Router
SU2RTF	Secondary repository	File based Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Router
SU2II	Secondary Repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Verification purposes

### 5.2.2 Methods of interaction.

### 5.2.2.1 JSON interface

The API is offered with an http based RestAPI with JSON parameters. Details of the interfaces offered, and supported messages are defined in this document. HTTP POST method is used for all calls (except the second asynchronous file upload HTTP PUT).

### 5.2.2.2 WSDL/SOAP interface

The Eu Secondary and router, in addition of the supporting JSON interfaces, supports GS1 EPCIS version 1.2 XML data binding and a WSDL/SOAP web services binding for a number of messages.

#### 5.2.3 Encoding

All messages are encoded in UTF-8

#### 5.2.4 Secured communication

Communication between the Secondary repository and interacting participants of the tobacco industry is secured by TSL 1.2 encryption AES256 cypher. Cypher suites that are less secure are not supported. If the TLS version or cypher used proves to be corroded or vulnerable, Dentsu Aegis reserves the right to replace the affected item with a state-of-the-art item after prior announcement.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The repository system uses OAuth 2.0 to authorize access to the web service methods. OAuth 2.0 is the industry-standard protocol for authorization. OAuth 2.0 supersedes the work done on the original OAuth protocol created in 2006. OAuth 2.0 focuses on client developer simplicity while providing specific authorization flows for web applications, desktop applications and server to server communication.

The system uses the OAuth client credential flow. The client credentials flow is used as an authorization grant as the authorization scope is limited to the protected resources previously arranged with the authorization server (the server being the Secondary repository).

Access tokens are issued as credentials used to access protected resources. An access token is a string representing an authorization issued to the client. The string is opaque to the client and passed in the authentication header. Tokens represent specific scopes and durations of access, granted by the resource owner, and enforced by the resource server and authorization server. Tokens have an expiry of 3600 seconds (1 hour).

5.2.5 Version and backward compatibility

Dentsu Aegis provides an API versioning approach using a version identifier in the URL.

Example URL: <a href="https://fseconardayUrl">https://fseconardayUrl</a>/v1

We currently see no reason to make a breaking change or enhancement that would require a V2 to be added. This convention is in place to facilitate all eventualities in the future.

Our goal would be to make releases to the API that are non-breaking by being backward compatible, for example adding additional return properties, not removing old ones.

#### 5.2.6 System Reception Timestamp

In some cases, the manufacturer systems can generate burst of messages. A number of messages can be produced during the same second and therefore will have the same EventTime and the same MessageTimeLong.

In order to implement efficiently the sequence validation controls, the System Reception\_Time at a millisecond precision is defined.

The Reception\_Time will be recorded and added by the Primary repository and Router.

The reception Time added by the router will be transmitted to the primaries. Primary repositories should accept and transmit the field to the secondary repository.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3
# 5.2.7 Message identification and RecallCode

#### 5.2.7.1 Overview

The traceability system and more precisely the entry point systems (Primary repository and Router) assigns a unique identifier to each message. This unique identifier is the RecallCode.

When the message is routed and transmitted to the Secondary repository via the primary repositories, the RecallCode issued by the Router is forwarded to the primary repository.

#### 5.2.7.2 EPCIS eventID and RecallCode

In the case of the EPCIS interface, the EPCIS 1.2 protocol doesn't allow the transmission of the identification information back to the sender. The eventID field provided by the sender will be used as RecallCode.

#### 5.2.7.3 Message Recall

Economic operators have the possibility to recall requests, operational and transactional messages transmitted to the Secondary repository.

The reasons for recalling the original message may be:

- 1. Reported event did not materialise (only for messages related to dispatch events and trans-loading)
- 2. Message contained erroneous information
- 3. Other

#### 5.2.7.4 RecallCode structure

UUID version 5

#### 5.2.7.5 Messages

The following table describes the messages that are subject to Recall.

	Annex II			
	Reference			
ISU	(2.1)	Request for unit level UIs		
IRU		Data Request for unit level UIs		
ISA	(2.2)	Request for reporting the issuance of Unique Identifiers at aggregated level		
IRA		Data Request for reporting the issuance of Unique Identifiers at aggregated level		
EUA	(3.1)	Application of unit level UIs on unit packets		
EPA	(3.2)	Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging		
EDP	(3.3)	Dispatch of tobacco products from a facility		
ERP	(3.4)	Arrival of tobacco products at a facility		
ETL	(3.5)	Trans-loading		
EUD	(3.6)	Disaggregation of aggregated level UIs		
EVR	(3.7)	Report of delivery carried out with a vending van to retail outlet		
EIV	(4.1)	Issuing of the invoice		
EPO	(4.2)	Issuing of the order number		
EPR	(4.3)	Receipt of the payment		

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



#### 5.2.7.6 Recall Process

The recall must include the message recall code provided to the message sender in the acknowledgement of the original message to be recalled and must also contain the following information:

- Reason for recalling the original message
- Description of the reason for recalling the original message
- Any additional explanations on the reason for recalling the original message

A recall with respect to operational and logistic events results in flagging the recalled message as cancelled but does not lead to the deletion of the existing database record.

## 5.2.7.7 RecallCode Field

Technically the recall code is gained from the original message's "code" property:

#### Example response:

```
    "Code": "6854f9a6-a2b2-4c08-8000-0173f3c35567",
    "Message_Type": "EPA",
    "Error": false,
    "Errors": null
}
```

Where the "Code" is the recall id.

#### 5.2.8 Message response

A message transmission corresponds to a message request performed by a sender system and a message response provided by the destination system back to the sender system.

The Message response contains and http status and the body of the messages response.

#### 5.2.8.1 Successful response or event acknowledgment

As per the Implementing Regulation, A message or event is considered reported upon the reception of the acknowledgement message (successful) transmitted back by the destination system.

The http status for the message positive response without any warning are 200 and 202.

A warning (http status 299) is considered as a successful response.

#### 5.2.8.2 Negative response

The destination system is providing with a negative response if the reported event is not meeting the technical specifications.

Negative response http status is in the range of 400-499 and 500-599.



#### 5.2.8.3 Timeout

The destination system did not produce a response within the time that the sender system was prepared to wait. The sender system MAY repeat the request without modifications at any later time.

The absence of response (or the http timeout response) indicates that the message is NOT acknowledged.

#### 5.2.8.4 Timeout handling

In case of a timeout for a certain request, the sender system should retransmit the original message (identical payload).

If the sender system changes the original message (by updating the Message Time Long for example), the receiving system will consider the message as a different message.

#### 5.2.8.5 Successful response sample

HTTP Status 202

```
{
    "Code": " 6854f9a6-a2b2-4c08-8000-0173f3c35567",
    "Message_Type": "EPA",
    "Error": false,
    "Errors": null,
    "Checksum": "G6HF5H"
}
```

#### 5.2.8.6 Error response sample

The system should provide the sufficient details to allow external systems, administrators to identify precisely the issue in order to act accordingly.

The response message can contain a list of error

```
"Errors": [
{ << Error >>},
{ << Error >>},
{ << Error >>},
```

Each error contains the following information.

- **Error\_InternalID** is the unique identification of the message processing and validation activity.
- **Error\_Code** is the identifier of the type within the systems.
- **Error\_Descr** is the description in human readable format containing specific error information
- **Error\_Data** is the data for which the error is talking about. This can be used for EO\_IDs, F\_IDs, M\_IDs and UIs.
  - Note: use # as separator for the UI in case a list of UI is provided in the error data field.

Example of List of errors

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

111 / 160

<sup>1</sup> 

"Error\_InternalID": "yndkFz7TBEO706frD38hzA", "Error\_Code": "INVALID\_REQUEST\_FORMAT", "Error\_Descr": "The EconomicOperatorIdentifier field is unknown." "Error\_Data": "123456789123456#123456789123455#123456789123444"

#### Security errors

HTTP status	Error Code	
401		Invalid security token
401		Expired security token

#### Processing errors

HTTP status	Error Code	
400	INVALID_REQUEST_FORMAT	This error is returned when at least one of the mandatory fields are missing.
400	INVALID_MESSAGE_TYPE	When the field "Message_Type" is out of the defined list.
400	INVALID_INPUT_FORMAT	When the body of the message doesn't contain a valid JSON.
500	SYSTEM_ERROR	Internal system error.

#### Error body sample

```
{
    "Code": null,
    "Message_Type": null,
    "Error": true,
    "Errors": [
        {
            "Error_InternalID": "yndkFz7TBEO706frD38hzA",
            "Error_Code": "INVALID_REQUEST_FORMAT",
            "Error_Descr": "The EconomicOperatorIdentifier field is required."
            "Error_Data": "54G7J
        }
    ],
    "Checksum": "G6HF5H"
}
```

5.2.9 Forward Rejected Messages.

It is a requirement that the Secondary repository must store validation failures, this including failures that occur on the Primary repositories and the Router.

A rejected message is defined as a message that fails due to a business validation reason. The validation messages are described in the following sections:

- section Unique Identifier validation
- section Identification Code validation
- section Message Event Time Validation
- section Recall Validation

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

It is not expected that the Secondary repository is sent failed authentication attempts, badly formed messages or anything other than the validations listed in the above sections.

#### 5.2.9.1 Message Rejection processing

In case the message fails the validation, the system should

- log the rejected message
- log the response information
- send an error message to the requesting system with the details

#### 5.2.9.2 The message should contain

- The original request
- The optional base request sections

```
{
   "EO_ID": "Z25Q1H44IB3002078572YSHR",
   "F_ID": "OVERSEEING9220693452TACTL",
   "Event_Time": "19032014",
    "aUI": "testparent_sdgdg",
    "Aggregation_Type": 1,
    "Aggregated_UIs1": [
         "123456789123456789"
   ],
"Aggregated_UIs2": null,
    "aUI_comment": "Comments",
    "Message_Type": "EPA",
    "Code": null,
    "RejectionData": {
       "Errors": [
       {
"Error_Code": "INVALID_REQUEST_FORMAT",
       "Error_Descr": "The EconomicOperatorIdentifier does not exist.",
       "ErrorData": "123456789123456789"
       }
       ]
    }
```

#### 5.2.10Message integrity and hash

The Repository system will verify the message checksum to ensure that the data was not tampered with between parts of the whole Repository system. Messages where the hash is not valid shall not be accepted.

This integrity check ensures that the messages making up traffic cannot be altered in transit or within the parts of the Repository system, neither can messages be added or removed from the sequence, without detection.

The client adds a MD5 hash to the X-OriginalHash HTTP header. This structure is then added to the message

Message Header	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

dentsu

TRACKING



X-OriginalHash	1234567890abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz
Content-Type	application/json
Authorization	<token></token>

HTTP status	Error Code	
401	INVALID_SIGNATURE	"The message signature does not match"

#### 5.2.11Message size

5.2.11.1 Message size

The maximum message size is 6MB. The limit on the HTTP header size is 10'240 bytes.

## 5.2.11.2 Maximum number of UI

The online sequence validation controls require the limitation of the number of UI (sum of the unit level UI and the aggregated level UI) per message for the following events

Message Type	Annex II Reference	Message description	Number of UI (upUI + aUI)
IDA	(2.3)	Request for deactivation of UIs	50 000
EUA	(3.1)	Application of unit level UIs on unit packets	50 000
EPA	(3.2)	Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging	50 000
EDP	(3.3)	Dispatch Event	50 000
ERP	(3.4)	Reception event	50 000
ETL	(3.5)	Trans-loading event	50 000
EUD	(3.6)	Message to report an UID 50 000 disaggregation	
EVR	(3.7)	Report the delivery carried out with a vending van to retail outlet	50 000
EIV	(4.1)	Message to report an invoice	50 000
EPO	(4.2)	Purchase order	50 000
EPR	(4.3)	Payment record	50 000

#### 5.2.12Number of simultaneous connections

No limit defined on simultaneous connections for the Router and the Secondary Repository.

#### 5.2.13Message Sequence

Message sequence must be respected as per regulation.

The primary repository must report the messages reported by the manufacturer in the same sequence. The reporting of messages to the secondary repository is

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

completed upon reception of an acknowledgement message by the Secondary repository.

**Note:** If the primary repository reports two messages affecting the same group of UIs without waiting for the acknowledgment from the Secondary repository, both messages are considered to be reported simultaneously and NOT in sequence. By "affecting the same group of UIs" we mean either explicitly mentioned UIs between the messages or implicitly calculated UIs based on previous messages (i.e. hierarchy related UIs).

#### 5.2.14Buffering and Burst transmissions

Messages should be transmitted continuously by the different systems without buffering.

In case of technical buffering caused by technical maintenance activities, the transmitting system should implement mechanism to ensure the correct sequencing of the events.

#### 5.2.15Message Retransmission limitation

A message that was positively acknowledged shall not be retransmitted a second time.

#### 5.2.16Connectivity Test Message

A Connectivity Test Message (CTM) is implemented on the interface PR2RT. This message is sent by the Router to check the availability and the security configuration of the endpoint.

Interface acronym	Hosting system	Description
PR2RT	Primary repository	Secure interface published by Primary repository providers for Router communication

#### 5.2.17Duplicate message validation

Retransmission of successful messages introduce an unnecessary load and negatively impact the data visualization and reporting.

A validation of duplicate successful message is included in order to eliminate such duplicate retransmission of successful messages.

# 5.2.17.1 New Message validation

Upon reception of a message, the first entry point (the EU Router or the Primary Repository) validates the messages and assign a unique RecallCode.

If the message passes the validation and is accepted (returning a success response Status 200 or 202) the message is processed by the system and is not expected to be received again.

dentsu TRACKING

In case the successful message is retransmitted (identical payload) to the system, the system will return a duplicate message validation error (adding the recallcode corresponding to the original successful message) (Status 400).

Note: in case the message validation fails, the transmitting system is able to send the same message.

# 5.2.17.2 Routed or forwarded message validation

When the message is routed or forwarded, the message contains a RecallCode. The system will maintain the list of all successful RecallCode corresponding to the successful messages.

In case the incoming message RecallCode indicate that the message has been processed successfully, the system returns a validation error.

# 5.3 EPCIS and EDI Support

## 5.3.1 Overview

GS1 EPCIS and EDI provide optional message formats, which deliver equivalent data when used with GS1 aggregate level or aggregate and unit level identifiers. This permits one message to support traditional retail supply chain business or end-to-end traceability requirements and to support EU 2018/574 reporting. At

<u>https://www.gs1.org/docs/epc/FightingIllicitTradeEPCIS Application Standard.p</u> <u>df</u>, GS1 has published a GS1 EPCIS Application Standard for Fighting Illicit Trade, particularly in the context of EU 2018/574.

Ref	Ref	Description	EPCIS
EUA	(3.1)	<i>Application of unit level UIs on unit packets</i>	EPCIS Object Event (business step "Commissioning")
EPA	(3.2)	<i>Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging</i>	EPCIS Aggregation Event (business step "Packing")
EDP	(3.3)	Dispatch Event	EPCIS Object Event (business step "Shipping")
ERP	(3.4)	Reception event	EPCIS Object Event (business step "Arriving")
ETL	(3.5)	Trans-loading event	EPCIS Object Event (business step "transloading")
EUD	(3.6)	Message to report an UID disaggregation	EPCIS Aggregation Event (business step "Unpacking")
EVR	(3.7)	Report the delivery carried out with a vending van to retail outlet	EPCIS Object Event (business step "Arriving")

# 5.3.2 PRODUCT MOVEMENT EVENTS

#### 5.3.3 TRANSACTIONAL EVENTS

Ref	Ref	Description	EDI
EIV	(4.1)	Message to report an	EDI: Invoice
		invoice	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



EPO	(4.2)	Purchase order	EDI: Order
EPR	(4.3)	Payment record	EDI: Settlement

#### 5.3.4 ERROR HANDLING MESSAGE

Ref	Ref	Description	EPCIS
RCL	(5.0)	Recall messages	

#### 5.3.5 EPCIS Recall Management

EPCIS negation of a previous event by means of a subsequent, identical event that contains an ErrorDeclaration, and whose eventID is equal to the eventID of the prior (erroneous/recalled) event.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 5.4 EU Wide Register

## 5.4.1 Overview

Each ID Issuer shall establish and maintain:

- A **Registry** that contains the Identifier codes for the Economic operators, Operators of first retail outlets, Machines and Facilities.
- A **Flat file** allowing for the extraction of information encoded in the Unique Identifiers by the verification devices used for offline checks.

An up-to-date copy of these Registries and Flat files, along with the corresponding information is transferred electronically via the Router to the Secondary repository and compiled into a EU Wide Register.

The **Offline flat files** are transferred to the Competent Authorities of the Member States and contain the sufficient information to allow the off line decoding of UIs by the Verification devices.



The following diagram describes the general flow of data.

Figure 47 EU Wide Register Flow

#### 5.4.2 Registry 5.4.2.1 Overview

The Registry stores data on the Economic operator, Facility and Machine Identifier codes.

Registry	EconomicIdentifiers.csv
	Facilities.csv
	Machines.csv

Figure 48 Registry file structure

Filename	Description
EconomicIdentifiers.csv	Contain the Economic operators Identifier codes
	along with the corresponding information
Facilities.csv	Contain the Facility Identifier codes along with
	the corresponding information
Machines.csv	Contain the Machine Identifier codes along with
	the corresponding information

Please note that it is assumed that the update of the Registry file is performed incrementally, meaning only new or changed items are included in the update file.

# 5.4.2.2 EU Wide Registry Governance

# 5.4.2.2.1 Overview

ID Issuers are mandated to provision and maintain the EU Wide Register. In some cases, identifier might be transferred from one ID Issuer to another ID Issuer. The second ID issuer is then responsible to maintain the record (economic Operator, facility and machine).

In order to control this process and avoid accidental record update, a technical ownership mechanism is implemented on each record of the EU Wide Register.

# 5.4.2.2.2 Technical ownership

The technical ownership of each record is defined by the identification code of the ID Issuer (IIID). This field is provided by the ID Issuer that create the record (economic Operator, facility and machine).

# 5.4.2.2.3 Technical Record Transfer

An ID Issuer can transfer the technical ownership of a record to another ID Issuer by updating the technical ownership field. Once the information is successfully processed, only the new ID Issuer would be authorized to update the record.

5.4.3 Flat Files

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The Flat files contain data in a plain text format allowing for the extraction of information encoded in the Unique Identifiers used at the unit packet and aggregated packaging levels.

dentsu

TRACKING

Two formats of flat files are available

- Flat File type I
- Flat File type II

The ID Issuer should choose one of the formats during the setup phase.

#### 5.4.3.1 Flat File type I format

The flat file type I format presents the minimal set of files required to provide the information required to decode the UI in an offline manner.



Figure 49 Flat File structure

Filename	Description	
ProductLookup.csv	<ul> <li>Product based lookup data provides <ul> <li>the product description;</li> <li>the intended market of retail sale;</li> <li>the intended shipment route;</li> <li>where applicable, the importer into the Union;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
ManufacturerLookup.csv	<ul> <li>Manufacture based lookup data provides</li> <li>the place of manufacturing;</li> <li>the manufacturing facility referred to in Article 16;</li> <li>the machine used to manufacture the tobacco products referred to in Article 18;</li> </ul>	
RegularExpression.csv	(optional) A list of regular expression to extract Product lookup and/or MID / FID from the code.	

The update of the Flat files shall be performed in a non-incremental way, meaning all information should be present, when uploaded via the full upload ULO call.

The update of the Flat files shall be performed in an incremental way when uploaded via the PLO call.

#### 5.4.3.2 Flat Filetype II format

The flat file type II format presents the most granular lookup capabilities.

at	ProductLookup.csv
Ð	MachineLookup.csv
	FacilityLookup.csv
	ImporterLookup.csv
	TargetMarketLookup.csv
	RouteLookup.csv

Figure 50 Granular Flat File Structure

Filename	Description
ProductLookup.csv	<ul><li>Product based lookup data provides</li><li>the product description;</li></ul>
MachineLookup.csv	<ul> <li>Machine based lookup data provides</li> <li>the machine used to manufacture the tobacco products referred to in Article 18;</li> </ul>
FacilityLookup.csv	<ul> <li>Facility based lookup data provides</li> <li>the place of manufacturing;</li> <li>the manufacturing facility referred to in Article 16;</li> </ul>
ImporterLookup.csv	
TargetMarketLookup.csv	<ul><li>Target Market based lookup data provides</li><li>the intended market of retail sale;</li></ul>
RouteLookup.csv	<ul><li>Route based lookup data provides</li><li>the intended shipment route;</li></ul>

# 5.4.4 ULO - Full Flat file and Registry upload

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# *5.4.4.1 Flat file and Registry interface to upload from the ID Issuers*

A webservice will be exposed on the Router endpoint. This endpoint will be secured with the same authentication rules as the Router, in that a valid Router OAuth token will be required, linked to an EOID.

The first request will return the upload URL that will be used to upload the file.

In the call definition of the call made to get the upload link, the caller needs to specify if they would like to upload a "Registry" file or a "Flat file". This is defined in the Data Dictionary. This first call is performed using a POST method.

#### *5.4.4.2 Asynchronous Flat file and Registry processing and notification* An HTTP PUT call on the upload URL is performed to upload the file.

The files are sent by the ID issuer to the Router.

The Router validate the format and the content of the file.

If error, the file is rejected, an error message is sent and the ID issuer has to resubmit the corrected file. The data will NOT be loaded into the EU Wide Register. The processing result is logged in the audit trail and a notification will be transmitted to the initiator of the request.

Once validated the file is uploaded in the EU Wide Register. A notification email is sent to the ID Issuer.

#### *5.4.4.3 Asynchronous Flat file and Registry optional call-back*

In order to automate the integration, a call-back can be used to communicate the status of the file processing.

The call-back interface will implement the requirements defined in the Secondary repository and Router interface.

#### 5.4.4.4 Files format

The file must be sent in a zip format and adhere to the following standards:

		Description
CSV separator	. /	(semicolon)
File text encoding	UTF-8	
CSV text symbol	N	<ul> <li>(double quotes)</li> <li>All "characters in the text need to be escaped using double quotes. Ex: "Text abc; continued";123;4567;"TextColumn4 with a ""quoted note"" here";"LastColumn"</li> <li>Each of the embedded double-quote characters must be represented by a pair of double-quote characters.</li> </ul>
Optional field		Optional field should be filled with "N/A"

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

122 / 160

## 5.4.4.5 Upload file size

Files shall be no larger than 2 GB per ID issuer.

# 5.4.5 PLO - Incremental Flat file and Registry upload

#### 5.4.5.1 overview

This optional interface allows the ID issuer to update the Flat File and the Register in an incremental manner and in a synchronous call. Note that Incremental update only adds and updates the information.

#### 5.4.5.2 Processing

The validation on the PLO call will be done synchronously and the response will be transmitted directly to the requestor.

Once validated the file is uploaded in the EU Wide Register.

# 5.4.6 Offline Flat Files

# 5.4.6.1 Content and Structure

Offline	 audit.csv			
Flat File	Idlssuers.	CSV		
	 Master	countries.csv	tobaccoproducttype.csv	
		facilitytype.csv	transportmode.csv	
	lssuer Prefix	EconomicIdentifiers.csv		
		Facilities.csv		
		Machines.csv		
		ProductLookup.csv		
		MachineLookup.csv		
		RegularExpression.csv (op	tional)	
	lssuer Prefix			
	lssuer Prefix			

Figure 51 Offline Flat File structure

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# dentsu TRACKING

Filename	Path	Description
audit.csv	1	Contain technical audit information
idissuers.csv	1	Contain the ID Issuer Unique
		Identification Code along with the
		corresponding information
Countries.csv	/Master	Contain list of country codes
Facilitytype.csv	/Master	Contain list of facility types
Tobaccoproducttype.csv	/Master	Contain list of Tobacco product Type
Transportmode.csv	/Master	Contain list of Transport modes
EconomicIdentifiers.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the Economic operators
		Identifier codes along with the
		corresponding information
Facilities.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the Facility Identifier codes
		along with the corresponding
		information
Machines.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the Machine Identifier codes
		along with the corresponding
		information
Flat File type I		
Productlookup.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the flat file lookup product
		information
Machinelookup.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the flat file lookup machine
		information
RegularExpression.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	Optional file containing the Regular
		Expression.
Flat File type II		
Productlookup.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the flat file lookup product
		information
Machinelookup.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	Contain the flat file lookup machine
		Information
FacilityLookup.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	
ImporterLookup.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	
IargetMarketLookup.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	
RouteLookup.csv	/<< issuer prefix >>	

# 5.4.6.2 Audit file

For technical purposes the Offline Flat File contains the audit.csv file. The file contains the following information

- Version
- Timestamp of the generation
- UUID (file identification number)

#### 5.4.6.3 Access Security

A webservice will be exposed on the Router endpoint. This endpoint will be secured with the same authentication rules as the Router.

#### 5.4.6.4 Offline Flat File Security

Due to the sensitive nature of the content of the offline flat file, the file is encrypted, and password protected. The credential will be provided during the request of the file.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

124 / 160

# 5.5 Identifier Code Verification Service

# 5.5.1 Overview

The purpose of this services is to allow the ID Issuers to check

- the validity of the EOID, FID and MID.
- the validity of the relation between EOID, FID and MID, or EOID and FID.

# 5.5.2 Interface

Interface acronym	Hosting system	Description
SU2II	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Identifier Code verification purposes

#### 5.5.3 Verification Result

The service validates the existence and the validity of the EOID, FID and the MID.

- If the EOID, FID and the MID are defined in the EU Wide Register and marked as active, the response will be true.
- If the EOID, FID and the MID are not defined in the EU Wide Register or marked as inactive, the response will be false.

The service validates the relation of the EOID, FID and the MID.

• If the EOID, FID and the MID are valid and If the relation between the EOID, FID and the MID is defined in the EU Wide Register, the response will be true.

# 5.6 Unique Issuer Message Delivery Verification Service

#### 5.6.1 Overview

The purpose of this services is to allow the ID Issuers to check if a UI issuance message IRU (response to the message 2.1 described in annex 2) has been successfully delivered to the repository.

The ID Issuer will be able to receive the delivery status for any IRU that it generated based on the recallcode.

#### 5.6.2 Interface

Interface acronym	Hosting system	Description
SU2II	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3



#### 5.6.3 Verification Result

The service validates the successful delivery of the IRU message based on its recallcode.

- Success: the IRU message has been delivered successfully
- Failure: the IRU message has not been delivered.

# 5.7 Competent Authorities API

#### 5.7.1 Overview

The purpose of this services is to allow Member States and Authorities to access the traceability data present in the secondary.

#### 5.7.2 Interface

Interface acronym	Hosting system	Description
SU2CA	Secondary repository	Secure interface published by the Secondary repository for Competent Authorities

#### 5.7.3 Offline Flat File download API

This service provides the offline flat file.

#### 5.7.4 Query API

This service provides access to UI, Events, Economic Operators, facilities and Machine information.

#### 5.8 Primary repository endpoint

#### 5.8.1 Overview

The Primary repository should expose an endpoint that will be used by the Router to transmit the described messages. All messages including acknowledgements must follow the specifications in the list of specifications and data dictionary.

5.8.2 Methods of interaction.

The Primary repository will present an http based RestAPI with JSON parameters.

HTTP POST method is used for all calls.

#### 5.8.3 Message format.

The message format and sample are described in the Data Dictionary.

5.8.4 Message response

All messages response should follow the format described in the Secondary repository and Router interface.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

## 5.8.5 Endpoint

A single endpoint is expected. All messages will be transmitted to that single endpoint.

#### 5.8.6 Secured communication

The Primary repository system uses Basic authentication or OAuth 2.0 to authorize access to the web service methods.

#### 5.8.7 RecallCode management

The recall code as described in the interface section should be supported.

#### 5.8.8 Message integrity and hash

The Repository system will verify the message checksum to ensure that the data was not tampered with between parts of the whole Repository system as described in the Secondary repository and Router interface.

# 5.9 II2MN II2DW interfaces

#### 5.9.1 Overview

The ID Issuer defines the communication between the EO and the ID issuer corresponding to interfaces II2MN and II2DW.

Interface acronym	Hosting system	Description
II2MN	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Manufacturers and Importers
II2DW	ID issuer System	Secure interface published to Distributors and Wholesalers

# 592 Interface

#### 5.9.3 Synchronous and asynchronous support

The interface presented allows the implementation a synchronous version, where the ID Issuer system will return the result within the same call. This approach is recommended when the business process and internal validation are fully automated.

The interfaces present the option of an asynchronous implementation where the initial call will trigger a request. The ID Issuer system will return a request code for each of these requests and a subsequent message will be initiated by the ID Issuer to transmit the response on the original request. This message will contain the reference to the initial request.

#### 5.9.4 Extensibility

The interface presents an extensibility field in all messages corresponding to the interfaces II2MN and II2DW.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 6 Unique Identifier

# 6.1 Clarification on Structure of unit-level unique identifiers

6.1.1 Clarification on Structure of unit-level unique identifiers (after encoding into a data carrier)

Clarification on the use of data qualifiers as part of the UI, taking into account Implementing Regulation 2018/574 and the applicable international ISO norms. To facilitate this explanation, please see attached a table illustrating the structure of the UI (after encoding it into a data carrier), and the roles of ID issuers and economic operators in generating / applying the different data elements and, where applicable, data qualifiers.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Unique Identifier	Symbology Identifier	Mandatory Data Qualifier	ID Issuer Identification Code	Optional Data Qualifier	Serial Number	Optional Data Qualifier	Product Code	Optional Data Qualifier	Timestamp
Туре	Qualifier	Qualifier	String (data Element)		String (data Element)		String (data Element)		String (data Element)
Position within the unique identifier	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Free	Free	Free	Free	Fixed	Fixed
Regulated by	Art. 21(1) and ID issuer's coding structure	Art.3(4), Art.8(1)(a), Art. 21(1) and ID issuer's coding structure	Art.3(4) and Art.8(1)(a)	Art. 21(1) and ID issuer's coding structure	Art.8(1)(b)	Art. 21(1) and ID issuer's coding structure	Art.8(1)(c)	Art. 21(1), Art. 21(4) and ID issuer's coding structure	Art.8(1)(d) and Art.21(4)
Applicable international standards	ISO/IEC 16022:2006, or ISO/IEC 18004:2015, or ISS DotCode Symbology Spec.	ISO 15459- 2:2015 and ISO 15459- 3:2014	ISO 15459- 2:2015 and ISO 15459- 3:2014						
Process	Applied bv EO	Applied bv EO	Generated by ID issuer	Applied bv EO	Generated by ID issuer	Applied bv EO	Generated by ID issuer	Applied bv EO	Applied bv EO
Transmission to repositories systems	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes

1) Pursuant to Article 8(1)(a)-(c) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574, the following data elements (strings) should form part of the UI, as generated by the competent ID issuer:

- ID issuer identification code (subject to ISO 15459-2 and 3);
- Serial number;
- Product code.

2) Pursuant to Articles 8(1)(d) and 21(4) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574, manufacturers and importers shall add the time stamp in the last

position to the code generated by the ID issuer. The time stamp can be either encoded into the data carrier or be added separately from the data carrier as a human readable format. The time stamp format must correspond to YYMMDDhh. Regardless of its format, the time stamp remains a part of the UI in the sense of Article 8 of Implementing Regulation.

3) Article 3(4) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574 requires that the ID issuer identification code should be assigned in line with ISO/IEC 15459-2 and the latter should be read in conjunction with ISO/IEC 15459-3 laying down common rules on unique identification and data capture techniques. Accordingly, the ID issuer identification code always must be preceded by a data qualifier, which shall consist of digits and upper cases only. That data qualifier shall be applied, as part of the encoding process, by the economic operator in accordance with the applicable coding structure published by the ID issuer in cooperation with its Issuing Agency.

4) Economic operators may be asked to apply additional ISO/IEC 15459-3 data qualifiers to the code generated by the ID issuer as part of the encoding process into the permitted types of data carriers. The use of these optional data qualifiers should be in line with the applicable coding structure published by the ID issuer in cooperation with its Issuing Agency. To that end, it is important to take into account that the use of data qualifiers may depend on the symbology identifier that is applied in accordance with Article 21(1) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574 (and the ISO norms referred therein). The coding structure of the ID issuer should address this possible interdependency and provide for adequate guidance to economic operators.

5) The potential use of a data qualifier preceding the time stamp will also depend on whether an economic operator decides to rely on Article 21(4) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574. The application of such data qualifier should take place in accordance with the applicable coding structure published by the ID issuer in cooperation with its Issuing Agency.

6) To ensure positive validation by the repositories system, only the following data elements (strings), excluding the symbology identifier and any data qualifiers, should be transmitted by economic operators as part of their recording activity to the repositories system:

- ID issuer identification code (without mandatory data qualifier);
- Serial number;
- Product code;
- Time stamp.

7) For the purpose of the explanation above, group separators (/FNC1) are considered in the same manner as optional data qualifiers. Their use depends on the coding structure published by the ID issuer.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

6.1.2 Clarification on Structure of aggregated-level unique identifiers (after encoding into a data carrier)

#### 6.1.2.1 Aggregated UIs generated and issued by competent ID issuers

For aggregated UIs generated and issued by competent ID issuers, the rules on the use of data qualifiers explained in point 1.1 above apply by analogy.

## 6.1.2.2 Self-generated aggregated UIs

Self-generated UIs must only provide for unique identification of the traceable item and as such, any additional information added to the aggregated level UI, as provided for in Article 11(4) of Implementing Regulation 2018/574, must not be transmitted by economic operators as part of their recording activity to the repositories system.

Example 1: GS1 DataMatrix encoding Global Trade Item Number with Serial Number (SGTIN)

Aggregate Unique Identifier for standard trade item grouping using GS1 Application Identifiers (01) for GTIN and (21) for Serial Number

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Unique Identifier	Symbology Identifier	Data Qualifier	GTIN	Data Qualifier	Serial Number
Position within the unique identifier	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed
Applicable international standards:	ISO/IEC 16022:2006, ISO/IEC 18004:2015, or ISO/IEC 15417:2007	ISO 15459-2:20 Section 4.1.2 (n (normative) and Specifications V	15, ISO 15459-3:20 ormative), ISO/IEC I Annex B (informativ .19 (or latest equiva	14, ISO/IEC 1545 15459-6:2014 Se /e), and the GS1 lents)	59-4: 2014 ction 5 General
Values	]d2	01	01234567891231	21	456FGRD66
Process	Symbology Identifi must be followed w patterns required t	ers are transmitte hen printing the l signal GS1 form	☐ Applied by EO □ ed by scanners based barcode. See barcod atted data.	l on 'start charact e specifications fo	er patterns' that r specific
Transmission to repositories systems	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
aUī			01234567891231		456FGRD66

Example 2: GS1 DataMatrix encoding SGTIN (required for aUI) with additional information permitted, but not required

Aggregate level Unique Identifier for standard trade item grouping adding GS1 Application Identifier (240) Additional Product ID assigned by the manufacturer to Example 1

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Unique Identifier	Symbolog y Identifier	Data Qualifie r	GTIN	Data Qualifi er	Serial Number	Character required by GS1 after variable	Data Qualifier for optional attribute	Additional product identificatio n assigned

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

130 / 160

						length fields	added by the manufactur er	by the manufactur er
Position within the unique identifier	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	Per rules with Specifications	nin GS1 Genera s V19 or latest	al equivalent
Applicable internatio nal standards :	ISO/IEC 16022:20 06, 18004:20 15, or 15417:20 07	ISO 1545 ISO/IEC General S	59-2:2015, ISO 15 15459-6:2014 Sec Specifications V.19	5459-3:20 ction 5 (no 9 (or lates	14, ISO/IEC prmative) an t equivalents	15459-4: 201 d Annex B (inf ;)	4 Section 4.1.2 ormative), and	2 (normative), I the GS1
Values	]d2	01	012345678912 31	21	456FGRD 66	FNC1 or <gs></gs>	240	ED1234
Process				🗌 Appl	ied by EO 🗌	•		
Transmiss ion to repositori es systems	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No
aUI			012345678912 31		456FGRD 66			

Example 3: GS1-128 encoding Serial Shipping Container Code (SSCC) Aggregate level Unique Identifier for transport (logistic) units using GS1 Application Identifier (00) SSCC

	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Unique Identifier	Symbology Identifier	Data Qualifier	SSCC	
Position within the unique identifier	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed	
Applicable international standards:	ISO/IEC 16022:2006, ISO/IEC 18004:2015, or ISO/IEC 15417:2007	ISO 15459-2:2015, ISO 15459-3:2014, ISO/IEC 15459-1: 2014 Section 4 (normative) and Annexes A and B (informative), and the GS1 General Specifications V.19 (or latest equivalents)		
Values	]C1	00	123456789123456789	
Process		Applied by EC		
Transmission to repositories systems	No	No	Yes	
aUI			123456789123456789	

Example 4: Code 128 bar code symbol with the qualifier of ASC MH10 Data Identifier "J"

As defined in ISO/IEC 15459-1:2014 Annex A (informative) Unique identification for transport units section A.3 ASC MH10 unique identification for transport units

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Unique Identifier	Symbology Identifier	ASC MH 10 Data Identifiers	
Values	]C0	J	JNLY1234567890
Process	Applied by EO	Applied by EO	Applied by EO
Transmission to repositories systems	No	No	Yes
aUI			JNLY1234567890

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 6.2 Decoding UI

In order to decode the content of the UI, the Secondary repository requires the implementation of the different ID issuers decoding algorithm. These algorithms combined with the EU Wide Register will allow the decoding.

# 6.2.1 Algorithm

The ID issuer must provide the algorithm to the Secondary repository.

# 6.2.2 Decoding Activities

#### 6.2.2.1 Off line validation

Specific offline validation could be performed on the UI.

#### 6.2.2.2 Routing

The decoding of the UI could be required during the routing and splitting of the messages.

# 7 Router

# 7.1 Overview

The Router is responsible for:

- Validating data that is sent from the ID Issuer and the Economic operators.
- Sending data that it is sent from the Economic operators as web call and • Flat files, to the Secondary repository checking that the message received is valid.
- Splitting and distributing operational and transactional messages coming • in from the distribution chain to the relevant Primary repository.



Figure 52 Router Data Flow

# 7.2 Routing rules

The Router dispatches the traceability data from the Wholesalers, Distributors, Transport companies or Providers of courier services to the Primary repository related to the Manufacturer or Importer of the dispatched tobacco products.

The Message shall be split when it contains information related to products from various Manufacturers.

# 7.2.1 Routing of UI

**Case 1**: When all UIs are related to the same Manufacturer or Importer, the message is forwarded as-is to the relevant Primary repository.

**Case 2:** When UIs are related to various Manufacturers or Importers, the message will be split by Manufacturer and/or Importer together with the related UIs and resulting messages forwarded to the relevant Primary repository.

# 7.2.2 Routing of Transactional data

Transactional Information associated to products from various Manufacturer or Importer should be fully sent to all relevant Primary repositories with no split. UIs will be split by relevant Primary repository.

# 7.3 Recall Management

The router will propagate the recall messages following the initial routing and splitting.



EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 8 Message Validation

# 8.1 Overview

Validation is the process to accept or reject an incoming message.

Upon the reception of reported events on UI, a certain number of calculated information called metadata are computed and managed internally on the Secondary repository and Router. The UI metadata consists of

- The UI state information (indicating if the UI is in stock in a location, in transit and other)
- The UI Location FID (current location or last known location)
- The UI Aggregation context (if the UI is part of an aggregation)
- The UI Event history

This metadata is internally used by the Secondary repository and the Router to perform the validation in real time and allows the system to meet the response time regulatory SLA.

Once the event is validated and accepted by the router or the secondary repository, the metadata for UIs are updated.

## 8.1.1 Principle: Duplication of validation

The complete traceability system should be considered as one system and the validation is performed at the first component of the system.

- Messages processed by the Router and transmitted to the Primary repository, should not be validated at the Primary repository level.
- Messages processed by the Primary repository and forwarded to the Secondary repository, is validated in order to ensure internal data integrity between systems.



Figure 53 Validation Overview

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

135 / 160

#### 8.1.2 Validation response

The Https status of the response provide information on the way the receiving system is handling the data.

- Http status **200 202**: the message is processed successfully
- Http status **299**: the message contains one or more errors (validation failure), the message is processed even with the errors. (some processing might be limited). The response contains the list of failed validations.
- Http status **400**: the message contains one or more validation errors. The message will NOT be processed. An audit of the message and its corresponding response will be stored on the EU Secondary. The response contains the list of failed validations.

http status 299 is used in multiple circumstances. This warning status is used to informing the sending system of an unrecognized UI during the "Transition Period" as well as out of sequence event during the grace period. This status is also used in case a message is reported outside the 24hour (defined on the validation VAL\_EVT\_24H). The response message contains the list of validations failures that caused the warning.

#### 8.1.3 Technical and business validation

The validation process is composed by a technical aspect and business validation. The technical validation ensures that the message follows the general format and messages structure including field availability and predefined values. This validation is performed on all components.

The business validation is performed after the technical validation and focuses on the state of business data.

#### 8.1.4 Message transmission overview

The following diagram describes the different message transmission and response options.



EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

136 / 160

Note that the technical validation is not represented in the following diagram. Only the business validation. It is clear that messages that fail the technical validation (wrong format, not authorized) will be rejected by all systems with an http status 400.

#### 8.1.4.1 Secondary to Primary Feedback

The Secondary repository performs the full validation (technical and business validation) all messages from the different primary repositories as described in the list of Specification.

The information provided by the primary repository is used to update the internal state of the different UI. The states are then used during the validation enforcement on the router.

The Secondary repository is running all the validation in order to confirm the coherence of the state changes.

In case the secondary validation process returns a negative response, the secondary repository will reject the message (http status 400) The Meta data associated with the UI is not updated if the message is rejected (error 400).

If a message is rejected by the secondary repository, the primary repository can retransmit the same message (same payload and same recallcode) as an attempt to get it accepted.

#### 8.1.4.2 Router to Primary

The router performs the validation of the messages received. Depending on the type of messages, the message is then forwarded to the primary repository. The primary repository will accept the messages and always (messages that are passing or failing the business validations) return a http 200 or 202 to the router.

#### 8.1.4.3 Router and Primary to EO

The messages from the Economic operators are validated at the first point of entry. For the manufacturer and importer, the messages are transmitted to the primary repositories.

The messages from the distributors are validated by the router based on the information processed on the secondary repository.

Depending on the content of the message, the EO might receive a successful response (http 200 or 202) a warning (http 299) or a rejection data (http 400).

# 8.2 Type of validation

8.2.1 Security validation

The security validation is the first part of the technical validation ensuring that connecting systems are authenticated and authorized to transmit data to the traceability system.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

dentsu

TRACKING

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_SEC_HASH	Integrity check of the checksum	All messages
VAL_SEC_TOKEN	Oauth Security Token validation	All messages

#### 8.2.2 Message Structure validation

The technical validation ensures that the messages are following the technical guidelines and allows the system to successfully access the message data accurately.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_MSG_JSON	JSON structure validation	All JSON
		messages
VAL_MSG_XML	XML structure validation	All EPCS EDI
		messages
VAL_MSG_TYPE	Message type validation	All messages
VAL_FIE_MAN	Mandatory Field validation (per	All messages
	message type)	
VAL_FIE_FORMAT	Field format validation	All messages
VAL_FIE_REF	Existence of correctly	All messages
	reference enumerations. (As	
	defined in data dictionary)	

#### 8.2.3 . Message Transmission validation

The transmission controls are established in order to prevent technical duplication and processing of messages.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_MSG_DUPLICATE	Message payload already processed successfully by the Router or Primary should be rejected.	IRU - IDA - EUA - EPA - EDP - ERP- ETL- EUD- EVR - EIV - EPO - EPR
VAL_MSG_CODE_DUPLICATE	Message identified by a Recallcode that has already been processed successfully should be rejected.	All messages

#### 8.2.4 Unique Identifiers validation

The following validations are performed on each UI that is present in a message.

#### 8.2.4.1 Message level validation

The UI present in a message should be present only once. If the UI is present multiple times, the message will be rejected as non-compliant.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_MULT_MSG	Multiple duplicate UI present	IRU - IDA - EUA
	in the messages.	– EPA – EDP –

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

138 / 160

For EPA (message 3.2), the validation on the parent UI should also be performed in order to avoid first level cyclical reference.	ERP- ETL- EUD- EVR – EIV – EPO - EPR
---	--

## 8.2.4.2 Application Validation

Validation that he upUI(s) is only applied once to a upUI(L)

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_EXIST_APP	upUIs has been received as part of an IRU message. This validation fails if the upUIs is not found and has not been reported.	EUA - IDA
VAL_UI_DUPLICATE_APP	UI validity Check if the upUIs has already been applied to a upUI(L)	EUA
VAL_UI_FID_APP	Validation of the FID defined in the IRU message (2.1).	EUA

#### 8.2.4.3 Existence

The following control ensures that the UI (upUI and aUI) comply with the regulation and could participate in product movement or transaction event reporting.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI	UI existence upUI exists and has been successfully applied	EPA (Children) – EDP – ERP- ETL- EVR - EIV – EPO - EPR
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI	aUI existence aUI has been aggregated (part of an EPA)	IDA -EPA (Children) - EDP - ERP- ETL- EVR - EIV - EPO - EPR
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI_SEQ	<ul> <li>UI validity</li> <li>upUI exists and has been successfully applied</li> <li>upUI has not been part of any deactivation message.</li> </ul>	EPA (Children) – EDP – ERP- ETL- EVR
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI_SEQ	<ul> <li>aUI validity</li> <li>aUI has been aggregated (part of an EPA)</li> </ul>	IDA -EPA (Children) - EDP - ERP- ETL- EVR

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

139 / 160

<ul> <li>and has not been disaggregated (including implicit disaggregation)</li> <li>nor deactivated.</li> </ul>	
--	--

#### 8.2.4.4 upUI Expiration

As per the regulation, the upUI(s) that are issued by the ID Issuer and reported in the IRU messages have a limited application period.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_EXPIRY	Validation that the application or the aggregation date doesn't exceed the 6 months period after the code has been issued.	EUA, EPA

## 8.2.5 UI level Message sequence validation

## 8.2.5.1 Application and deactivation sequence validation

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_ORD_REACTIVATION	upUI(s) that has been deactivated should not allow any application event (EUA).	EUA
VAL_UI_ORD_DEACTIVATED	UI – presence of UI in a message after being deactivated.	EPA – EDP – ERP- ETL- EUD- EVR - IDA

#### 8.2.5.1.1 Deactivation reason

Depending on the deactivation reason for the parent UI.

- If the deactivation reason was Deact\_Reason1 = 1 (Product destroyed) or 2 (Product stolen) then the full hierarchy is deactivated and therefore nothing needs to be done
- If the deactivation reason was Deact\_Reason1 = 3 (UI Destroyed),4 (UI Stolen) ,5 (UI Unused) or 6 (Other), then only the explicitly mentioned UIs are deactivated and therefore the stolen parents would be still existing in the Secondary as active. It is the responsibility of the Economic Operator to report the stolen UIs as stolen when they are aware of it (send a deactivation message for the stolen UIs)

Note that the Deactivation also can trigger an implicit disaggregation.

#### 8.2.5.2 Aggregation and Disaggregation Principles

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

**Principle 1**: All aggregation events are full aggregation. Once the aUI is aggregated, a subsequent aggregation event on the same parent aUI should be rejected.

**Principle 2**: All disaggregation events are full disaggregation. Once the aUI is disaggregated, no movement should be reported on that aUI (until the next disaggregation and aggregation event are reported.).

**Principle 3**: Implicit disaggregation. Disaggregation event reporting is mandatory only when the aUI is reused in a subsequent aggregation event (as a parent aUI). The implicit disaggregation is detected when at least one child UI is is reported in an aggregation or product movement. The parent aUI of this child UI will be considered as disaggregated. In the case that the child UI is part of an aggregation hierarchy, all parent aUIs will be disaggregated.

As a consequence, once the implicit disaggregation is detected, no movement should be reported on that parent aUI (until the next aggregation event is reported).

**Principle 4**: All disaggregation must be performed at a location. No Disaggregation are allowed during the transport.

## 8.2.5.3 Implicit disaggregation

Since disaggregation events are only mandatory when the parent aUI is reused, Implicit disaggregation event will happen.

#### 8.2.5.3.1 Triggers

These events will be detected / triggered when a child UI is identified on one of the following messages: IDA (2.3), EPA (3.2), EDP (3.3), ERP (3.4) of type Return, EUD (3.6) and EVR (3.7).

Note that transactional events are not triggering any implicit disaggregation.

#### 8.2.5.3.2 Disaggregated state

Once the UI is implicitly disaggregated, the UI should be considered disaggregated and should not be part of any subsequent product movement (3.x). The definition of implicit disaggregation is that the affected parent aUI is considered completely unlinked from all the children it had at the moment of the implicit disaggregation trigger ("Open"). If a reported UI has more than one linked parent at the moment of the reporting, all parents should be implicitly disaggregated, this includes grand-parents and recursively upwards linked UIs.

#### 8.2.5.3.3 Recall

It is important to note that implicit disaggregation should be rolled back in case the event that triggered them is Recalled.

#### 8.2.5.3.4 Explicit disaggregation after implicit disaggregation

The scope of the VAL\_UI\_ORD\_IMPLDISAGG and VAL\_UI\_ORD\_DISAGG have been removed from EUD (3.6). This means that it is possible to disaggregate an aUI after being part of an implicit disaggregation (as a parent).

#### 8.2.5.4 Aggregation and Disaggregation Validation

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_MULT	Validation that a parent UI has not been part of any prior aggregation event (as parent) without being part of a disaggregation event. This control prevents the reuse of an aUI without prior disaggregation.	EPA
VAL_UI_ORD_DISAGG	Validation that an aUI has been disaggregated cannot be part on any product movement prior of being aggregated.	EDP - ERP – ETL - EVR
VAL_UI_ORD_IMPLDISAGG	Validation that an aUI has been implicitly disaggregated cannot be part on any product movement prior of being part of an EUD message and then aggregated.	EDP – ERP – ETL – EVR- EPA (Children) - EUD

## 8.2.5.5 Location based Validation

## 8.2.5.5.1 UI Location update

The sequence validation also considers the implementation of location-based controls.

FID of the UI is updated upon processing of the following events.

- ERP (3.4)
- EUA (3.1) update of the location of the UI. The location of the UI should match the location defined in the IRU message.
- EPA (3.2) update of the newly created parent id
- EVR (3.7)
- RCL (5.0) rolls back to the previous state

#### 8.2.5.5.2 Location based controls

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_FID	Validation that the aggregation and the disaggregation events must happen at the same facility (FID) where the products have been either created or arrived.	EPA - EUD

#### 8.2.5.6 Dispatch and arrival Validation

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

**Principle 5:** The reporting on the Arrival should be done on the same UI that have been reported during the Dispatch/Transloading process. This is a consequence of principle 4. This means that an Arrival Event that contains child UI of UI reported during the Dispatch/Transloading Event will be rejected. The same UI must be reported.

<u>Exception VAL UI ORD ARRIVAL RETURN</u>: arrival of type return only affects the principle 5 but still enforce the event sequence validation.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL	Validation that a UI is part of a prior reported dispatch or transloading event (EDP 3.3, ETL 3.5) for the specified destination. This validation concerns the sequence of events. <u>Exception:</u> Imported products	ERP (Product_Return = 0)
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL_RETURN	Validation that a UI is part of a prior reported dispatch or transloading event (EDP 3.3, ETL 3.5, EVR 3.7) for the specified destination. This validation concerns the sequence of events. In this validation principle 5 doesn't apply.	ERP (Product_Return = 1)

# 8.2.5.6.1 imported goods Exception

The IRU (message 2.1) contains the import flag information. This flag will be used to asses if the exception should be implemented.

- a) Unit packs that contain the import flag information and that have been applied and eventually aggregated with EUA (message 3.1) and EPA (message 3.2) in a facility whose country is **outside** the EU must be reported in an arrival message with a facility whose country is inside the EU (the physical importation of the goods) before being part of any aggregation or logistic movement inside the EU.
- b) Unit packs that contain the import flag information and that have been applied and eventually aggregated with EUA (message 3.1) and EPA (message 3.2) in a facility whose country is **inside** the EU, must not report an arrival message. In this case, it is understood that the physical importation should have taken place between the IRU (message 2.1) and the application/activation of the UI EUA (message 3.1) and EPA (message 3.2).

# 8.2.5.6.2 Arrival of type return

The reporting of the arrival of type return can be performed on child UI. This operation is allowed and will trigger an implicit disaggregation.

# 8.2.5.6.3 Arrival and Return

Flows from the retail outlets, even in the context of message 3.7, are considered to constitute "product returns".

The flows constitute "product returns" even if the product is returned to the same facility as it was originally dispatched from or to another one.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_UI_ORD_DISPATCH	Validation that a UI last location (FID) matches the source location (FID) of the dispatch event.	EDP
	<ul> <li>The UI must have been:</li> <li>Applied or aggregated on that specific location (FID)</li> <li>Arrived on that location.</li> </ul>	

# 8.2.5.6.4 Dispatch validation

# 8.2.6 Message Event Time Validation

The following messages validation compares the event time (Event Time) to the actual reception time of the event by the first point of entry.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_EVT_24H	Validation that the Events are reported within 24 hours from the occurrence of the event. This validation is performed on the Event Time compared to the Record Time of the Primary repository or the Router.	EUA – EPA – EVR – EIV – EPO – EPR
	NOTE: this validation will be reduced to 3 hours after 20 May 2028 This validation should not be blocking but rather	

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3


	generating a warning to the sender system	
VAL_EVT_TIME	"Within 24 hours prior to the occurrence of the event" rule for dispatch and trans- loading event messages is a rule and the system shall reject non-compliant messages. Control is based on the "actual date – Event Time" time difference.	EDP – ETL
	This validation should not be blocking but rather generating a warning to the sender system	

#### 8.2.7 Identification Code validation

Identification codes are used in a number of messages. The validation of the existence and fact that the identification code is active is part of the business validation as described in the table below.

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_ENT_EXIST_EOID	Check if the EOID exists in the EU wide registry	ISU – ISA – IRU – IRA – IDA – EUA – EPA – EDP – ERP – ETL – EUD- EVR
VAL_ENT_EXIST_FID	Check if FID, exists in the EU wide registry	ISU – IRU – ISA – IRA – IRU – EUA – EPA - EDP – ERP – ETP – EUD - EVR
VAL_ENT_EXIST_MID	Check if MID, exists in the EU wide registry	ISU - IRU
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_EOID	Check if EOID is marked as active in the repository	IRU – IRA – IDA – EUA – EPA – EDP – ERP – ETL – EUD- EVR
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_FID	Check if FID is marked as active in the repository	ISU – ISA – IRU – IRA – EUA – EPA
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_MID	Check if MID is marked as active in the repository	ISU – IRU
VAL_ENT_REL_EOID_FID	Check if EOID FID relation is defined in the EU wide registry	IRU - IRA

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

defined in the EU wide registry	VAL_ENT_REL_ FID_MID	Check if FID MID relation is defined in the EU wide registry	IRU - IRA
------------------------------------	----------------------	--	-----------

#### 8.2.8 Recall Validation

#### 8.2.8.1 General recall rules

The sequence validation on the product movement introduce additional controls on the recall process. In order to maintain the consistency of the history of the UI, only the recall of the last event for each UI will be authorized. If a message to be recalled, contains a UI (any in the reported list) that has a subsequent event, the subsequent event must be recalled first.

For the sake of clarity, the following scenarios describe the process of recall. An EO report two product movements on a UI. (Event 1 and Event 2). If the EO wishes to recall Event 1, the EO has first to recall Event 2 and only after recall Event 1. Moreover, Event2 must be the last event occurred on all UIs contained in Event2 for Event2 could be recalled.

#### 8.2.8.2 Transaction events

Transaction events (4.x) are not subject to this rule as they are not impacted by the sequence validation control and therefore, transaction events (4.x) can be recalled at any time.

#### 8.2.8.3 Recall Validation Controls

Control	Description	Scope
VAL_RECALL_EXIST	Check if RecallCode exists	RCL
VAL_RECALL_LAST	Check if for all UIs related to the event identified by RecallCode is the very last unrecalled event occurred on all such UI including related implicitly disaggregated parents.	RCL

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

## 8.3 Validation Scope

	(2.1)	(2.2)	(2.3)	(3.1)	(3.2)	(3.3)	(3.4)	(3.5)	(3.6)	(3.7)	(4.1)	(4.2)	(4.3)	- (5)
	IRU	IRA	IDA	EUA	EPA	EDP	ERP	ETL	EUD	EVR	EIV	EPO	EPR	RCL
Technical validation														
VAL SEC HASH	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	X	Х	X
VAL SEC TOKEN	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL MSG ISON	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL MSG XMI	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		~	~	~	
VAL MSG TYPE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL FIE MAN	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL FIE FORMAT	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL FIE REF	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
VAL_MSG_CODE_DUPLICATE	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Business rule validation													~	
			X	X										
				X										
				~	v	v	v	v	v	Y	Y	v	v	
					× ×			× ×						
VAL UI EXIST UPUT SEO			v		^ V		∧ ⊻	^ V	^		^	^	^	
VAL_UI_EXIST_UIU_SEQ									v					
			^	v		^	^	^	^	^				
Entity Validation				^	~									
	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	v	V	
VAL_ENT_EXIST_ED			^								^	^	^	
VAL_ENT_EXIST_ND		^		^	~	^	^	~	^	^				
		v	v	v	v	v	v	v	v	v				
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_ED			^		~	^	^	~	^	^				
		^		^										
		v												
Soquence Validation	^	^												
				V										
			v	^	v	v	v	v	v	v				
			^			^	^	^	^	^				
					^	v	v	V		V				
					v									
						^	^	^	v	^				
					~		v		^					
						v	^							
						~								
				V	V					V	V	V	V	
				X	٨	v		V		X	X	X	٨	
						~		~						
														V
														A V
VAL_NECALL_LAST														Λ

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

147 / 160

## 8.4 Validation Responsibility

	Primary	Router	Secondary
	Repository	Error http	Repository
	Error http	status for	Error http status for
Technical validation	status for EO	LU	Primary
	400	400	400
	400	400	400
VAL_SEC_TOKEN	401	401	401
VAL_MSG_JSON	400	400	400
VAL_MSG_XML	400	400	400
VAL_MSG_TYPE	400	400	400
VAL_FIE_MAN	400	400	400
VAL_FIE_FORMAT	400	400	400
VAL_FIE_REF	400	400	400
VAL_MSG_DUPLICATE	400	400	400
VAL_MSG_CODE_DUPLICATE	400		400
Business rule validation			
VAL_UI_MULT_MSG	400	400	400
VAL_UI_EXIST_APP	400		400
VAL_UI_DUPLICATE_APP	400		400
VAL_UI_FID_APP	400		400
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI	400	400	400
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI	400	400	400
VAL_UI_EXIST_UPUI_SEQ	400	400	400
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI_SEQ	400	400	400
VAL_UI_EXPIRY	400		400
VAL_UI_ORD_REACTIVATION	400	400	400
VAL_UI_ORD_DEACTIVATED	400	400	400
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_MULT	400	400	400
VAL_UI_ORD_DISAGG	400	400	400
VAL_UI_ORD_IMPLDISAGG	400	400	400
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_FID	400	400	400
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL	400	400	400
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL_RETURN	400	400	400
VAL_UI_ORD_DISPATCH	400	400	400
VAL_EVT_24H	299	299	
VAL_EVT_TIME	299	299	
VAL_ENT_EXIST_EOID	400	400	400
VAL_ENT_EXIST_FID	400	400	400
VAL_ENT_EXIST_MID	400	400	400
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_EOID	400	400	400
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_FID	400	400	400
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_MID	400	400	400
VAL_ENT_REL_EOID_FID		400	400
VAL_ENT_REL_ FID_MID		400	400
VAL_RECALL_EXIST	400	400	400
VAL_RECALL_LAST	400	400	400

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

148 / 160

# 8.5 Validation and Error Code

	Error Code	http Status
Technical validation		
VAL_SEC_HASH	INVALID_SIGNATURE	400
VAL_SEC_TOKEN	INVALID_OR_EXPIRED_TOKEN	401
VAL_MSG_JSON	INVALID_INPUT_FORMAT	400
	REQUIRED_FIELD_FAILED_VALIDATION	
	MAX_LENGTH_FAILED_VALIDATION	
	MIN_LENGTH_FAILED_VALIDATION	
	ENTRY_LENGTH_FAILED_VALIDATION	
	EXCISE_NUMBER_NOT_VALID	
	NON_COMPATIBLE_UIS	
	NOT_THE_SAME_NUMBER_OF_ITEMS	
VAL_MSG_XML	FAILED_VALIDATION	400
VAL_MSG_TYPE	FAILED_VALIDATION	400
VAL_FIE_MAN	FAILED_VALIDATION	400
VAL_FIE_FORMAT		400
VAL_FIE_REF	FAILED_VALIDATION	400
VAL_MSG_DUPLICATE		400
VAL_MSG_CODE_DUPLICATE		400
VAL_UI_MULI_MSG		400
Pusiness rule validation	UIS_NUT_VALID	
	LITS APPLICATION ERROR	400
		400
	UI NOT FXIST	400
		100
VAL UI EXIST AUI	UI NOT EXIST	400
VAL UI EXIST UPUI SEO	UI NOT EXIST	400
	UI NOT VALID	
VAL_UI_EXIST_AUI_SEQ	UI_NOT_EXIST	400
VAL_UI_EXPIRY	UI_EXPIRED	400
Entity Validation		
VAL_ENT_EXIST_EOID	EOID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_EXIST_FID	FID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_EXIST_MID	MID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_EOID	EOID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_FID	FID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_ACTIVE_MID	MID_NOT_EXIST_OR_ACTIVE	400
VAL_ENT_REL_EOID_FID	FID_NOT_RELATED_TO_EOID	400
VAL_ENT_REL_ FID_MID	MID_NOT_RELATED_TO_FID	400
Sequence Validation		
VAL_UI_FID_APP	UIS_APPLICATION_ERROR	400
	FID_MISMATCH	
VAL_UI_ORD_REACTIVATION	UI_DEACTIVATED	400
VAL_UI_ORD_DEACTIVATED	UI_DEACTIVATED	400
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_MULT	MULIIPLE_AGGREGATION	400
VAL UI ORD DISAGG	UI_ALREADY_DISAGGREGATED	400

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

149 / 160



VAL_UI_ORD_IMPLDISAGG	UI_ALREADY_DISAGGREGATED	400
VAL_UI_ORD_AGG_FID	LOCATION_MISMATCH	400
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL	ARRIVAL_NOTALLOWED	400
VAL_UI_ORD_ARRIVAL_RETURN	ARRIVAL_NOTALLOWED	400
VAL_UI_ORD_DISPATCH	LOCATION_MISMATCH	400
Message Timing		
VAL_EVT_24H	OPERATION_WITHIN_24_HOURS	299
VAL_EVT_TIME	SHIPMENT_WITHIN_24_HOURS	299
Recall		
VAL_RECALL_EXIST	CODE_NOT_EXIST	400
	CODE_NOT_UNIQUE	
VAL_RECALL_LAST	RECALL_NOT_LAST_EVENT	400

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3 The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

150 / 160

## 8.6 Transition Period Validation

#### 8.6.1 overview

It has been recognized that during the transition period (20 May 2019 – 19 May 2020), as provided for under Article 37(1) of Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/574, a number of UI, which were generated before the 20th of May 2019 and therefore not-registered in the system, might be present in the supply chain and could be accidently scanned and included in product movement messages. In an effort to avoid full rejection of messages that may also contain valid UIs and non-valid legacy UIs, the following validation rule will be applied.

- 1. The receiving system (Primary repository, Router) identifies valid and non-registered UIs as per "VAL\_UI\_EXIST\_UPUI" or "VAL\_UI\_EXIST\_AUI" validation in the original message.
- 2. In case non-valid legacy UIs are identified, the system (Primary repository, Router) ONLY processes the valid UIs.
- An error message is sent to the requesting system containing the list of non-valid legacy UIs. The error code ("UI\_NOT\_EXIST\_TRANSITION\_WARNING") indicates that message in question contains non-valid legacy UIs, which will not be processed by the system. Only valid UIs will be processed.
- 4. The requesting system, by receiving the validation result with the list of non-valid legacy UIs, have the possibility to recall the message.

#### 8.6.2 Related controls

The following validation will not apply during the transition period

- VAL\_UI\_EXIST\_AUI
- VAL\_UI\_EXIST\_UPUI

Scope of messages subject to the transition period

- IDA (2.3) Request for deactivation of UIs
- EPA (3.2) Application of aggregated level UIs on aggregated packaging
- EDP (3.3) Dispatch Event
- ERP (3.4) Reception event
- ETL (3.5) Trans-loading event
- EUD (3.6) Message to report an UID disaggregation
- EVR (3.7) Report the delivery carried out with a vending van to retail outlet
- EIV (4.1) Message to report an invoice
- EPO (4.2) Purchase order

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

#### 8.6.3 Primary and Router processing



The primary and Router implementing the transition period receives the incoming event and apply the different validation controls. In case a UI doesn't match the control, the UI will be removed from the forwarded list and placed into the rejection data section of the message.

The primary repository must route events forwarded by the router with the Rejection Data information to the secondary repository.

#### 8.6.4 Empty Event

In case all UI in an event are non valid UIs, the Primary or Router will remove all the UI from the main UI list and forward the "empty" message to the Secondary Repository as an error message (http 400) for audit purpose.

#### 8.7 Sequence Validation Grace Period

#### 8.7.1 Overview

For the Economic Operators (Manufacturers, Importers, distributors), the implementation of the sequence validation is an important technical upgrade. In order to allow Economic operators to finetune their systems, a sequence validation grace period is defined.

During the sequence validation grace period, the Router and Primary repository will only provide WARNING (http status 299) instead of an ERROR (http status 400) in case of non-compliance and still accept the messages. These warning should be used by the EO to understand the errors and adapt their systems in order to comply with the new technical validation.

It is important to note that the "**sequence validation grace period**" is different from the "**transitions period**". Both periods can overlap.

#### 8.7.2 UI Processing

During the sequence validation grace period, If the UI doesn't pass the sequence validation, it will still be accepted, and the message processed. Because the sequence validation is not respected, the processing system (Primary repository

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

or Router) will not be able to maintain an accurate state for that specific UI. The UI will be identified, and further events related to this UI will be accepted.

#### 8.7.3 End of grace period

For UIs that have been issued and operated prior to the end of the Sequence Validation Grace Period, those might contain non-conform information. This means that the information used to implement the sequence validation, for these specific UI might not be sufficient to enforce the validation.

If the information available for these UIs, is not sufficient to assess the location and the state, the system should allow the product movement and bypass the validations.

#### 8.7.4 Related controls

The following validation controls will be impacted by this sequence validation Grace Period

- VAL\_UI\_FID\_APP
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_REACTIVATION
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_DEACTIVATED
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_AGG\_MULT
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_DISAGG
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_IMPLDISAGG
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_AGG\_FID
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_ARRIVAL
- VAL\_UI\_ORD\_DISPATCH

#### 8.7.5 Primary and Router processing

The primary and Router implementing the transition period receives the incoming event and apply the different sequence validation controls. In case a UI doesn't match the control, a warning response will be sent back to the sender system. The original message will be forwarded without any additional rejection data section linked to the sequence validation.

# 8.8 Secondary repository special processing of technical historical data.

#### 8.8.1 Context

In some exceptional cases, if the primary repositories fail to report some events, the secondary validation will prevent the primary to report these events aposteriori.

The following edge cases have been identified.

- MAINT\_01: Repacking scenario
- MAINT\_02: Historical Transloading scenario
- MAINT\_03: Arrival before Deactivation

In order to allow the secondary to be a copy of the primary repositories, the secondary repository will perform a limited update of the meta data. Only the event list will be updated

The metadata used for the validation (state and location) will not be updated in these specific cases.

The secondary will add specific audit trail during the processing of these specific cases.

The secondary will add specific information to the event allowing the competent authority to be informed of this specific processing.

Note that any other cases not exactly matching these scenarii will be rejected. (http status 400)

The primary repository will receive a successful answer (http status 202) and will not have to resend the message.

8.8.2 MAINT\_01: Repacking scenario

The initial packing process for a certain aUI corresponds to the reporting of an Aggregation (EPA 3.2) event.

The repacking process consists of an explicit Disaggregation (EUD 3.6) event followed by a new Aggregation (EPA 3.2) event.

In unlikely event of an issue in the reporting of the original aggregation and disaggregation event, the final aggregation event will be processed by the secondary repository.

MSG	MSG Type	UIDs	Primary	Secondar	Comment
Sequence			ACK	у АСК	S
1	EPA1 (3.2)	aUI	202	TIMEOUT	Message is not transmitted to Secondary due to technical reasons
2	EUD (3.6)	Same aUI	202	TIMEOUT	Message is not transmitted to Secondary due to technical reasons
3	EPA 2 (3.2)	Same aUI	202	202	

Message supported:

- aggregation message (EPA),
- disaggregation message (EUD).

Controls

• Messages must have been rejected by the regular endpoint.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

- Control on the Existence of the aUI
- Control on the Reception Time or Message Time Long

8.8.3	MAINT_	_02:	Historical	Transloading	scenario
ETL m	lessage				

MSG Sequence	MSG Type	UIDs	Primary ACK	Secondar y ACK	Comment s
1	EDP	Any	202	202	
2	ETL	Same as in EDP	202	TIMEOUT	Message is not transmitted to Secondary due to technical reasons
3	ERP	Same as in EDP	202	202	

Message supported:

• Transloading message (ETL 3.5),

Controls.

- Control on the MessageTimeLong that ETL was sent after an EDP (3.3)
- Control on the MessageTimeLong that ETL was sent before an ERP (3.4)

8.8.4 MAINT\_03: Arrival before Deactivation

MSG	MSG Type	UIDs	Primary	Secondar	Comment
Sequence			ACK	у АСК	S
1	EDP (3.3)	Any	202	202	
2	ERP (3.4)		202	TIMEOUT	Message is not transmitted to Secondary due to technical reasons
3	IDA (2.3)		202	202	

Message supported:

• Arrival message (ERP 3.4)



EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 9 Sign up Process

## 9.1 Overview

The objective of the signup process is to connect ID Issuer, Economic operator and Primary repository to the Secondary repository.

All parties must have valid credentials to call the Router or the Secondary repository. The flow diagram below gives an overview of how these credentials are gained.



## 9.2 Overall flow

#### 9.2.1 ID Issuer and Primary repositories providers

The ID Issuers and Primary repositories providers will contact Secondary repository provider and provide the technical details.

After the due diligence process, the credentials will be securely sent to the requestor.

#### 9.2.2 Technical Solution Provider

Distributors / Wholesalers can use a Technical Solution Provider to connect to the Router or connect directly.

When connecting through the Technical Solution Provider the Distributors / Wholesalers will have to sign terms and conditions prior to be enabled.

#### 9.2.3 Economic Operator Validation

The Economic Operator must be defined in the EU Wide Register.

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 10Endpoints

< BASE\_URL > : base url = .eu.tobaccotracing.com < BASE\_XML\_URL > : base xml url = .xml.tobaccotracing.com example: < ENV > : environment name

		URL		
Router				
Router	The authentication endpoint	https://< ENV >.auth.< BASE_URL >		
Router	The resource endpoint	https://< ENV >.router.< BASE_URL >		
Router	The flat file upload	https://< ENV >.registry.< BASE_URL >/lookup		
EPCIS/EDI	The EPCIS/EDI resource endpoint	https://< ENV >.router.< BASE_XML_URL >		
Secondary Repository				
Secondary Repository	The Identifier Code Verification	https://< ENV >.verification.< BASE_URL >/lookup		
Secondary Repository	The resource endpoint	https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_URL >/operational https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_URL >/transactional https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_URL >/recall		
Secondary Repository	The Offline flat file download	https://< ENV >.flatfile.< BASE_URL >/lookup		
Secondary Repository EPCIS / EDI	The EPCIS/EDI resource endpoint	https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_XML_URL >/operational https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_XML_URL >/transactional https://< ENV >.secondary.< BASE_XML_URL >/recall		

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

# 11List of standards

1	OAuth 2	https://www.oauth.com/oauth2-
		servers/access-tokens/client-credentials/
2	ISO/IEC 9834-8:2014 Information technology Procedures for the operation of object identifier registration authorities Part 8: Generation of universally Unique identifier (UI) (UUIDs) and their use in object identifiers	https://www.iso.org/standard/62795.html
3	The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 8259	https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8259
4	GS1 EPC Information Services (EPCIS) standard	https://www.gs1.org/standards/fighting- illicit-trade
5	UUID	https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4122.txt

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3

The information contained in these documents is **confidential**, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Dentsu Aegis Network.

159 / 160

# 12References

1	COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2018/574 of 15 December 2017 on technical standards for the establishment and operation of a traceability system for tobacco products <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-</u> <u>content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32018R0574&amp;from=GA</u>
2	DIRECTIVE 2014/40/EU OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 3 April 2014 <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-</u> <u>content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32014L0040&amp;from=EN</u>

EU Secondary List of specifications, Version 1.4.3